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## Executive Summary

D.M. Wills Associates Limited has been retained by Hillstreet Developments Inc. to prepare a Traffic Impact Study to support a proposed residential subdivision, which is located at 5868 County Road (CR) 65, Northumberland County.

The proposed development will consist of 50 dwelling units. Based on the characteristics of the development and the surrounding area, the study area included the entrances of the development on CR 65. One of these entrances is proposed to align with Mastwood Road forming a four-legged intersection.

This study reviews the development details and the existing traffic conditions of the study area including the investigation of the available traffic volumes and the anticipated residential growth (estimated to be approximately 97 residential dwelling units in addition to the dwelling units proposed in this application) within the Village of Osaca. Based on this review and the development details, a traffic operation analysis has been conducted using Synchro 12.0 to investigate the impact of the traffic generated from the proposed development on the study area. This analysis is carried out for the traffic condition with the consideration of the development impact. The analysis has covered the a.m. and p.m. peaks, as well as the current (i.e., 2022) and horizon years (i.e., 2027 and 2032) scenarios. The study reviews the need for auxiliary turn lanes at the entrance of the development on CR 65.

Assuming a full build-out, the development is anticipated to generate about 10 entering and 28 exiting trips during the a.m. peak, and 32 and 18 entering and exiting trips during the p.m. peak, respectively. Based on the analysis, there is no impact of the development on the traffic operation of CR 65 at the entrances of the development including the intersection of CR 65 with Mastwood Road. The Level of Service (LOS) within the study area remains the same before and after considering the impact of the development for all the scenarios and it will operate consistently at LOS "A" or "B". These LOS levels reflect that the intersection of CR 65 and Mastwood Road/ Development Entrance will operate at acceptable traffic operation levels. For auxiliary lanes warrant analysis, the results of the current and the horizon years show that there will be no need for any additional auxiliary lanes on the northbound or the southbound approaches at the entrance(s) of the development.

Also, the sight distance and visibility requirements have been reviewed during a field visit. Our team has also conducted a review of the existing visibility at the intersection of CR 65 with Bells Hill Road and $6^{\text {th }}$ Line. The visibility investigation showed that the existing posted speed limit in the area ( $60 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{hr}$ ) does not fulfill the sight distances at both intersections of CR 65 with Bells Hill Road and $6^{\text {th }}$ Line. Regardless of the development, the adequate posted speed limit should be $\mathbf{4 0} \mathbf{~ k m} / \mathrm{hr}$ for the following reasons:

- The significant growth that is anticipated to happen within the Village of Osaca with about 97 residential units in addition to 50 proposed residential units supported by this study (a total of 147 new residential units). This will increase the amount of traffic using CR 65 as discussed in this report.
- The active transportation traffic, which will likely share the road with the vehicular traffic, will increase.
- The sight distances at Bells Hill Road and $6^{\text {th }}$ Line do not meet the requirements.

For the development entrances, according to the Transportation Association of Canada (TAC) Geometric Design Guide, the review indicated that there is sufficient visibility for both sides (i.e., east, and west) at the south entrance of the development on CR 65. On the other hand, to fulfill the sight distance requirements at the north entrance and proactively enhance traffic safety in this area, the following should be considered:

- Maintain a lateral clearance ( 25 m ) from the centerline of the curve's inner lane regardless of the development. Within this lateral clearance, any vegetation, hill, landscaping, parking, fencing, etc. should be removed to ensure no line-of-sight obstruction exists as shown in figure 3.2.10 in the TAC.
- Reduce the posted speed limit within this area to $40 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{hr}$, which is already warranted due to the existing characteristics of this section of CR 65 and the anticipated growth within the village as discussed.
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### 1.0 Introduction and Background

D.M. Wills Associates Limited (Wills) has been retained by Hillstreet Developments Inc. to undertake a Traffic Impact Study (TIS) to support a proposed residential subdivision, which is located at 5868 County Road (CR) 65, Northumberland County (County).

The purpose of this TIS Report is to assess the impact of the proposed residential subdivision on traffic operations of CR 65 for future conditions, as well as to examine the need for auxiliary lanes at the entrance of the proposed development on CR 65. This study will assess the traffic operations in terms of the Level of Service (LOS) at the intersection of development entrance/Mastwood Road and CR 65. Therefore, the study area is defined here to include this intersection with its current geometry (T-intersection) and its proposed geometry of a four-legged intersection after the construction of the internal road of the subdivision.

The land, where the subdivision is proposed, is currently undeveloped. The proposed development is located on the west side of CR 65. The lands surrounding the proposed development are a mix of rural residential homes and farmlands. An aerial photo sketching the location plan of the proposed development is included in Appendix A.

The proposed development is planned to include 59 residential dwelling units as shown in Appendix B. The proposed development will directly access CR 65 which will align with Mastwood Road and will have another access to CR 65 north of the subdivision as shown in the conceptual site plan, which is included in Appendix $\mathbf{B}$.

### 2.0 Background Traffic Analysis

### 2.1 Roadway Existing Conditions

Within the study area, CR 65 has a two-way two-lane rural cross-section with no shoulders and ditches on both sides of the road. The speed limit on CR 65 at the intersection with Mastwood Road is $80 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{hr}$; however, the speed limit is dropped to 60 $\mathrm{km} / \mathrm{hr}$ close to the north of the development and before the horizontal curves on CR 65.

### 2.2 Existing and Future Background Traffic Conditions

This study uses the traffic counts that were collected by Ontario Traffic Inc. on June 23, 2022, at the intersections of CR 65 and Mastwood Road. The traffic counts collected at this intersection are included in Appendix C.

Based on the traffic data, the a.m. peak hour is identified between 7:15 a.m. and 8:15 a.m., while the p.m. peak hour occurs between 3:30 p.m. and 4:30 p.m. To obtain traffic volumes for the horizon years 2027 and 2032, the future traffic counts were estimated using an annual growth rate of $2 \%$. This assumed annual growth rate is estimated to be
consistent with the traffic growth discussed in the Northumberland County Transportation Master Plan (NCTMP) in Tables 2.4 and 3.1.

Since the counts were collected during the summer, there is no need to apply a seasonal factor. However, the County has provided traffic data for CR 65 that was collected in 2018 and the AADT was 900 veh/day. To adjust the data recently collected with the County's records, the following assumptions were taken into consideration:

- Based on a $2 \%$ annual traffic growth rate, the anticipated AADT in 2022 is 974 veh/day.
- Based on the data provided by the County, the percentage of p.m. peak hour volume to the daily traffic count on Thursday is about 9.6\%. The same percentage is assumed for the 2022 AADT. Accordingly, the p.m. peak hour traffic volume (for both directions) is about 94 veh/hr.
- Based on the 2022 traffic count, the p.m. peak hour is 61 veh/hr. Hence, the adjustment factor is estimated to be 1.53 , which is the ratio between the traffic based on AADT calculations ( $94 \mathrm{veh} / \mathrm{hr}$ ) and the collected traffic ( $61 \mathrm{veh} / \mathrm{hr}$ ).

The collected traffic volumes during the peak hours and the adjusted volumes for the current year and horizon years are summarized in Table 1.

Table 1 - Estimated Current and Anticipated Background Traffic Volumes at the Intersection of CR 65 and Mastwood Road

|  | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a.m. Peak Hour |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2022 (Current) | 0 | 2 | 12 | 0 | 1 | 23 |
| 2022 (Adjusted) | 0 | 3 | 18 | 0 | 2 | 35 |
| 2027 | 0 | 3 | 20 | 0 | 2 | 39 |
| 2032 | 0 | 4 | 22 | 0 | 2 | 43 |
| p.m. Peak Hour |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2022 (Current) | 4 | 2 | 31 | 2 | 0 | 22 |
| 2022 (Adjusted) | 6 | 3 | 48 | 3 | 0 | 34 |
| 2027 | 7 | 3 | 52 | 3 | 0 | 37 |
| 2032 | 7 | 4 | 58 | 4 | 0 | 41 |

In addition to the existing traffic volumes growth, the planned/approved/in process development applications within the study area should be considered. Based on our discussion with the client, it is anticipated that a total of approximately 97 residential dwelling units will be built within the Village of Osaca. These units are anticipated to be part of multiple subdivision applications on CR 65 . However, it is not available to our team at the moment whether some or all of these subdivisions will have direct access to CR 65.

The estimation of trips generated by these developments was derived from the Trip Generation Manual, $11^{\text {th }}$ Edition', published by the Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE). The ITE code of the land use, which closely describes the development, and the corresponding trip generation rates are shown in Table 2. Also, the table shows the average trip generation rates for this land use for both the a.m. and the p.m. peaks and the percentages of entering and exiting. Also, the ITE Manual provides equations to estimate the trips generated by this use. Given that the R-squared values for the equations are very high, the use of the equations will be warranted. These equations are as follows:

For a.m. peak hour: $T=0.71(X)+7.23$
For p.m. peak hour: $\operatorname{Ln}(T)=0.93 \operatorname{Ln}(X)+0.36$
where $T$ is the trips generated and $X$ is the number of dwelling units.
Table 2 - Trip Generation Rates during a.m. and p.m. Peak Hours of Generator

| Land Use | ITE | a.m. Peak |  |  | p.m. Peak |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Avg. <br> Rate | Entering | Exiting | Avg. <br> Rate | Entering | Exiting |
| Single detached <br> dwelling unit | 210 | 0.75 | $26 \%$ | $74 \%$ | 0.99 | $64 \%$ | $36 \%$ |

The trip generation equations provided by the ITE Manual for the peak hours of the generator (i.e., anticipated dwelling units) were used. Accordingly, the number of trips generated from these dwelling units can be estimated as shown in Table 3.

Table 3 - The Estimated Entering and Exiting Trips during a.m. and p.m. Peak Hours of Generator

| Land Use | Number of | a.m. Peak |  |  | p.m. Peak |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Dwelling <br> units | Avg. <br> Rate | Entering | Exiting | Avg. <br> Rate | Entering | Exiting |
| Single detached <br> dwelling unit | 97 | 76 | 20 | 56 | 101 | 65 | 36 |
| Total |  | 76 | 20 | 56 | 101 | 65 | 36 |

[^0]These trips are distributed based on the existing traffic pattern as presented in Table 1. The distribution ratios are shown in Table 4 and the traffic added to the existing traffic conditions is shown in Table 5.

Table 4 - Trip Distribution Ratios North of the Intersection of CR 65 and Mastwood Road

| Traffic Direction | AM Peak Hour |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Volume | Ratio |
| Northbound Traffic | 14 | 0.368 |
| Southbound Traffic | 24 | 0.632 |
| Traffic Direction | PM Peak Hour |  |
|  | Volume | Ratio |
| Northbound Traffic | 33 | 0.600 |
| Southbound Traffic | 22 | 0.400 |

Table 5 - Traffic Added to the Network due to the Anticipated Developments

|  | NBT | SBT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a.m. Peak | 7 | 36 |
| p.m. Peak | 39 | 15 |

Based on the existing traffic conditions and assuming that the anticipated developments will generate the same amount of traffic over the study horizon, the total background traffic is summarized in Table 6.

Table 6 - Total Background Traffic Volumes at the Intersection of CR 65 and Mastwood Road

|  | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a.m. Peak Hour |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2022 | 0 | 3 | 26 | 0 | 2 | 71 |
| 2027 | 0 | 3 | 28 | 0 | 2 | 74 |
| 2032 | 0 | 4 | 30 | 0 | 2 | 79 |
| p.m. Peak Hour |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2022 | 6 | 3 | 86 | 3 | 0 | 48 |
| 2027 | 7 | 3 | 91 | 3 | 0 | 52 |
| 2032 | 7 | 4 | 97 | 4 | 0 | 56 |

### 2.3 Existing and Future Background Traffic Operation

Synchro 12 software is used to review the existing and future traffic operation of the study area without the development (i.e., background traffic conditions). Traffic operations were investigated for the total background traffic conditions of 2022, and the horizon years 2027 and 2032 as presented in Table 6. The Level of Service (LOS) results of the existing and future scenarios without the development impact (i.e., background traffic volumes) as well as the volume-to-capacity ratio (v/c ratio) are shown in Table 7. More details about the LOS definition and Synchro model results for these scenarios are presented in Appendix D and Appendix E, respectively.

Table 7 - LOS and v/c ratio at the intersection of CR 65 and Mastwood Road based on the Total Background Traffic Condition

|  | WB | NB | SBL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a.m. Peak Hour |  |  |  |
| 2022 (Adjusted) | A | - | A |
| 2027 | A | - | A |
| 2032 | A | - | A |
| p.m. Peak Hour |  |  |  |
| 2022 (Adjusted) | A | - | A |
| 2027 | A | - | A |
| 2032 | A | - | A |

As shown in Table 7, the LOS's at the intersection of CR 65 and Mastwood Road are maintained over the study horizon at LOS "A". This reflects a smooth operation of the background traffic at this intersection.

### 3.0 Traffic Operation Conditions with the Development Consideration

### 3.1 Trip Generation

The estimation of trips generated by the proposed development was derived from the ITE Manual. The ITE code of the land use, which closely describes the development, and the corresponding trip generation rates are shown in Table 2. Also, the table shows the average trip generation rates for this land use for both the a.m. and the p.m. peaks and the percentages of entering and exiting. Also, the ITE Manual provides equations to estimate the trips generated by this use as discussed above.

The trip generation equations provided by the ITE Manual for the peak hours of the generator (i.e., anticipated dwelling units) were used. Accordingly, the number of trips generated from these dwelling units can be estimated as shown in Table 8.

Table 8 - The Estimated Entering and Exiting Trips during a.m. and p.m. Peak Hours of Generator

| Land Use | Number of | a.m. Peak |  |  | p.m. Peak |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Dwelling <br> units | Avg. <br> Rate | Entering | Exiting | Avg. <br> Rate | Entering | Exiting |
| Single detached <br> dwelling unit | 50 | 38 | 10 | 28 | 50 | 32 | 18 |
| Total |  | 38 | 10 | 28 | 50 | 32 | 18 |

### 3.2 Trip Distribution

Based on the existing turning movement ratios on CR 65, the trips generated from the development are distributed as shown in Table 9.

Table 9 - Trip Distribution Ratios at the Intersection of CR 65 and Mastwood Road

| Traffic Direction | AM Peak Hour |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Volume | Ratio |
| Northbound Traffic | 12 | 0.316 |
| Southbound Traffic | 24 | 0.632 |
| Westbound Traffic | 2 | 0.053 |
| Traffic Direction | PM Peak Hour |  |
|  | Volume | Ratio |
| Southbound Traffic | 33 | 0.541 |
| Westbound Traffic | 22 | 0.361 |
|  | 6 | 0.098 |

Based on the ratios in Table 9 and the number of trips generated by the development shown in Table 8, the trip distribution at the entrance of the development is presented in Table 10.

Table 10 - The Turning Movement Volumes Added to the Intersection of CR 65 and Mastwood Road due to the Development Impact

| Peak Hour | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a.m. | 9 | 1 | 18 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| p.m. | 10 | 2 | 6 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 |

It is worth mentioning that the trip generated from the development will not increase over the horizon years since there is no expansion anticipated within the development.

The volumes reported in Table 10 are then added to the total background traffic to further investigate the impact of the development on the traffic operation. The updated traffic volumes (rounded) at the entrance of the development with the consideration of the development are in Table 11.

Table 11 - Estimated Future Traffic Volumes with the Development Consideration at the Intersection of CR 65 and Mastwood Road

|  | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a.m. Peak Hour |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2022 | 9 | 1 | 18 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 26 | 0 | 2 | 71 | 6 |
| 2027 | 9 | 1 | 18 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 28 | 0 | 2 | 74 | 6 |
| 2032 | 9 | 1 | 18 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 3 | 30 | 0 | 2 | 79 | 6 |
| p.m. Peak Hour |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2022 | 10 | 2 | 6 | 6 | 3 | 3 | 17 | 86 | 3 | 0 | 48 | 11 |
| 2027 | 10 | 2 | 6 | 7 | 3 | 3 | 17 | 91 | 3 | 0 | 52 | 11 |
| 2032 | 10 | 2 | 6 | 7 | 3 | 4 | 17 | 97 | 4 | 0 | 56 | 11 |

### 3.3 Existing and Future Traffic Operation with the Development Consideration

Again, Synchro 12 software was used to model the traffic within the study area. The model aims at assessing the traffic operation within the study area including the intersection of CR 65 and Mastwood Road. Different scenarios with the consideration of the traffic generated from the development were assessed including the adjusted 2022 scenario and the horizon years (2027 and 2032) for both a.m. and p.m. peak hours. The traffic volumes used in this assessment are summarized in Table 11. The results summary is presented in Table 12. The LOS definitions and the details of the simulation models and full results (i.e., volume to capacity ratio, queue length, delay, etc.) can be found in
Appendix E and Appendix F, respectively.
Based on the traffic operation performance for the traffic with the development consideration, the traffic operation performance measure (i.e., LOS) at the intersection of CR 65 and Mastwood Road is consistently at LOS "A" over the study period for the a.m. peak hour. For the p.m. peak hour, the LOSs are anticipated to slightly drop to LOS " B ", which is still acceptable. This reflects that the development will not have any significant impact on the traffic operation in this area of CR 65 as shown in the table below.

Table 12 - LOS at the Intersection of CR 65 and Mastwood Road based on Future Traffic Condition with the Development Consideration

|  | EB | WB | NBL | SBL |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a.m. Peak Hour |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2022 (Adjusted) | A | A | A | A |  |
| 2027 | A | A | A | A |  |
| 2032 | A | A | A | A |  |
| p.m. Peak Hour |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2022 (Adjusted) | A | B | A | A |  |
| 2027 | A | B | A | A |  |
| 2032 | B | B | A | A |  |

In summary, the proposed development will have no impact on the traffic operation in terms of LOS within the study area on CR 65 at the development entrances.

### 4.0 Warrants for Auxiliary Lanes with Proposed Development

The warrants for auxiliary lanes were examined on CR 65 at the proposed entrance of the development in accordance with Appendix 9A of MTO's Design Supplement for the 2017 Transportation Association of Canada (TAC) Geometric Design Guide for Canadian Roads².

The need for a left-turn lane at an unsignalized intersection (i.e., at the intersection of CR 65 and Mastwood Road) as established by the Design Supplement, Chapter 9A is based on the advancing traffic volume $\left(V_{A}\right)$, the opposing traffic volume $\left(V_{0}\right)$, the leftturning traffic volume $\left(\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{L}}\right)$, and the percentage of left-turning traffic in the advancing volume (LT\%). As shown in Table 11, the southbound left turning traffic is significantly low (less than 5 vph ), therefore, there is no need for a left turn lane and the warrant analysis is not carried out for this approach. For the northbound left-turn lane and as shown in Table 13, the left-turning volumes range from 3 to 17 vph in all the peak hours for the current and the horizon years. Based on the percentages shown in the table and for the $10 \%$ and $15 \%$ left-turning volume percentage charts in the design supplement, a leftturn lane installation on CR 65 at the intersection of CR 65 and Mastwood Road is not warranted for the highest anticipated traffic levels in 2032, as shown in Appendix G. It is assumed that the design speed is $80 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$. This design speed is $20 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ above the existing posted speed limit, which is $60 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ within the study area.

[^1]Table 13 - Left Turning Volume Calculations at the Intersection of CR 65 and Mastwood Road/Development Entrance

|  | V $_{\mathbf{L}}$ | V $_{\text {A }}$ | LT\% | V $_{\mathbf{o}}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a.m. Peak |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{2 0 2 2}$ (Adjusted) | 3 | 29 | $11 \%$ | 79 |  |
| $\mathbf{2 0 2 7}$ | 3 | 31 | $10 \%$ | 82 |  |
| $\mathbf{2 0 3 2}$ | 3 | 33 | $9 \%$ | 87 |  |
| $\mathbf{2 0 2 2}$ (Adjusted) | 17 | 106 | $16 \%$ | 60 |  |
| $\mathbf{2 0 2 7}$ | 17 | 112 | $15 \%$ | 63 |  |
| $\mathbf{2 0 3 2}$ | 17 | 118 | $15 \%$ | 67 |  |

For the right turn lanes, the TAC Manual specifies that right turn lanes should be considered "when the volume of decelerating or accelerating vehicles compared with through traffic volumes causes undue hazard." For the entrances of the proposed development, the southbound right-turn volume is low, which is 6 vph and 11 vph during the AM and PM Peak hours, respectively. These volumes are not anticipated to impede the through movement traffic, which is relatively low as well, at the intersection of CR 65 and Mastwood Road/development entrance.

### 5.0 Sight Lines Review

### 5.1 At the Development Entrance

A site visit was conducted on Friday, September 2, 2022, to check the sight lines at the proposed entrance of the development.

Given an environmental constraint, a north entrance is proposed for the north part of the subdivision which is anticipated to accommodate four dwelling units of the total 59 dwelling units. Due to the geometric characteristics of CR 65 at the north of the subdivision, the sight distance assessment includes two components; the first is related to the sight distances at the entrance, and the second component is related to sight distances on the horizontal curves (desktop review).

For the first component, the location of the entrance was explored in the field to find an entrance location that can fulfill the sight distance requirements of the TAC. According to the TAC Geometric Design Guide for Canadian Roads (June 2017) and in conjunction with the latest "MTO Design Supplement For TAC Geometric Design Guide for Canadian Roads - April 2020" (MTO DS), the required sight distances for a left turn from stop and right turn from stop are 170 m and 145 m , respectively, for a design speed of $80 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{hr}$, which is $20 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{hr}$ above the $60 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{hr}$ posted speed limit within the
area of the north entrance. During the field visit, a location of an entrance was selected to obtain the best sight distance, given the existing condition of dense vegetation and the alignment of CR 65. The location of the entrance being investigated in the field is shown in Figure 1.

Figure 1 - Approximate Location of the North Entrance

(For illustration purposes only and not to scale)
At this location, the available sight distances are 125 m and 120 m to the south of the entrance location and the north, respectively. Based on the existing posted speed limit ( $60 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{hr}$ ), these available sight distances will not fulfill the TAC requirements for sight distance. However, if the posted speed limit is lowered in this area to $40 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{hr}$, the required sight distance is 105 m for a design speed of $50 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{hr}$ (assuming $10 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{hr}$ above the speed limit for lower speed limits). This means that the sight distance at this entrance location will be fulfilled. Given the dense vegetation that existed during the field visit as shown in Figure 2, it is anticipated that the sight distance may improve if the vegetation was removed/treated. The measurement of the sight lines assumed both the driver's eye and the object to be seen are 1.08 m above the roadway surface.

Figure 2 - Pictures Taken in the Field at the Proposed Entrance Location on CR 65


For the second component, a minimum distance of lateral clearance on horizontal curves should be fulfilled to ensure a sufficient sight distance is available for vehicles traveling through the curve as indicated in the TAC in Figure 3.2.10. The required lateral clearance calculations were conducted based on equation 3.2.23 in the TAC. Given a design speed of $80 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{hr}$ for a posted speed limit of $60 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{hr}$ and about 80 m radius for the horizontal curve, the lateral clearance is estimated to be about 25 m . This lateral clearance is measured from the centreline of the inside lane of the curve and towards the subdivision. Within this lateral clearance, any sight obstruction should be removed including vegetation and the hill should be graded. In the future after the full build-out of the subdivision, no fences, no landscaping, nor parking should be allowed within this lateral clearance. If the speed limit is lowered in this section the lateral clearance can be reduced.

For the south entrance, the available sight distance extends beyond 210 m , which is the design sight distance for $100 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{hr}$ design speed as in Table 9.9.4 in the TAC Geometric Design Guide for Canadian Roads (June 2017) and in conjunction with the latest "MTO Design Supplement for TAC Geometric Design Guide for Canadian Roads - April 2020" (MTO DS). It is assumed that the design speed is $100 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$, which is $20 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ above the existing $80 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{hr}$ posted speed limit. This available sight distance is at a proposed south entrance that aligns with Mastwood Road.

### 5.2 At 6th Line

During the field visit in 2022, it was noticed that the geometric characteristics and both the horizontal and vertical alignments of the area shown in Figure $\mathbf{3}$ may cause traffic
safety issues with the existing speed limits. Therefore, it is recommended that the County review the speed limits within the shaded area as shown in the figure.

Figure 3 - Recommended Speed Limit Review Area


To support this recommendation, additional site visit was conducted on October 4, 2023 to investigate the sight distances at the intersection of 6 th Line and CR 65. The sight distance observations were taken at $6^{\text {th }}$ Line at both locations 1 and 2 highlighted in Figure 3. Figure 4 shows pictures taken at locations 1 and 2 during the field visit. The observations showed that the sight distances at both locations are not met at design speed of $70 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{hr}$ (for a posted speed limit of $60 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{hr}$ ) and based on the object and driver's eye heights mentioned before. The available sight distance for location one and looking to the left (north) is approximately 111 m . For location 2 and looking to the right (south), the available sight distance is approximately 106 m . These available sight distances for both locations do not meet the sight distance for a posted speed of 60 $\mathbf{k m} / \mathrm{hr}$ nor $50 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{hr}$ (which corresponds to a design speed of $70 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{hr}$ and $60 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{hr}$, respectively). Therefore, the County should consider the reduction of the speed limit to meet the sight distance requirements as required by the TAC design guide. More sight distance observations were collected as well at the intersection of Bells Hill Road and CR 65 as shown in Figure 5. The results showed that the available sight distance to the south/east is 140 m which means the sight distance requirements are not met based on the existing posted speed limit of $60 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{hr}$ (assuming the design speed is $70 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{hr}$ ) and following the same procedure as discussed above.

Based on the discussion above, the adequate posted speed limit for this section is 40 $\mathrm{km} / \mathrm{hr}$ (assuming a design speed of $50 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{hr}$ ) regardless of the development. This recommendation is based on the sight distance evaluation only.

Figure 4 - Pictures at Location 1 and 2 on CR 65


Figure 5 - A Picture at 140 m to the South/East and Looking Towards Bells Hill Road


### 6.0 Conclusions and Recommendations

This Traffic Impact Study investigates and evaluates the impact of the proposed subdivision on CR 65 in Osaca, Northumberland County. The background traffic operation and the traffic operation with the consideration of the traffic generated from the development at the intersection within the study area were assessed.

Based on the analysis completed in this study, the new trips generated by the development will have no impact on CR 65 at the entrances of the development in the existing or future scenarios. The LOS with the consideration of the development will remain at LOS "A" on CR 65 and will drop slightly to LOS "B" on Mastwood Road for all the scenarios, which reflect a smooth traffic operation in the area.

Additionally, this study examines the need for auxiliary turn lanes at the entrance of the development. The results show that there is no need for any right-turn or left-turn lanes at the entrance of the development on CR 65.

Also, the sight distance and visibility requirements have been reviewed during a field visit to the development location. According to the TAC Manual, the review indicated that there is a traffic safety concern within the area due to the geometric characteristics. Therefore, a speed limit review should be carried out for this entire section. Based on the sight distance measurements that were carried out in the field,
the sight distance requirements at Bells Hill Road and $6^{\text {th }}$ Line on CR 65 are not met based on the existing posted speed limit ( $60 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{hr}$ ). Regardless of the development, the adequate posted speed limit should be $40 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{hr}$ for the following reasons:

- The significant growth that is anticipated to happen within the Village of Osaca with about 97 residential units in addition to 50 proposed residential units supported by this study (total of 147 new residential units). This will increase the amount of traffic using CR 65 as discussed in this report.
- The active transportation traffic will increase in addition to the vehicular traffic.
- The sight distances at Bells Hill Road and $6^{\text {th }}$ Line do not meet the requirements.

For the north entrance of the development, the existing site condition will not fulfill the sight distance either for the horizontal curve or for a proposed entrance as required by the TAC. Therefore, to fulfill the sight distance requirements and proactively enhance traffic safety in this area, the following should be considered:

- Maintain a lateral clearance for the inside of the horizontal curve regardless of the development. Within this lateral clearance, any vegetation, hill, landscaping, parking, fencing, etc. should be removed to ensure no line-of-sight obstruction exists as shown in figure 3.2.10 in the TAC.
- Reduce the posted speed limit within this area to $40 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{hr}$, which is already warranted due to the existing characteristics of this section of CR 65 and the anticipated growth within the village as discussed.

It is anticipated that the entrance of the development will be constructed according to Northumberland County's requirements.

Respectfully Submitted,


Mostafa Tawfeek Mohammed, Ph.D., P.Eng., PTOE, RSP 1
Senior Traffic Engineer
MT/af

Appendix A
Location Plan

Figure A - Location Plan

*For illustration purposes only. Not to scale.

Appendix B
Conceptual Site Plan


Appendix C
Traffic Data

Traffic Monitoring • Services \& Products

## Project \#22-211 - D.M. Wills Associates

## Intersection Count Report

| Intersection: | CR 65 \& Mastwood Rd |
| :--- | :--- |
| Municipality: | Port Hope |
| Count Date: | Thursday, Jun 23, 2022 |
| Site Code: | 2221100001 |
| Count Categories: | Cars, Trucks, Bicycles, Pedestrians |
| Count Period: | $07: 00-18: 00$ |
| Weather: | Clear |
| Comments: |  |

## Traffic Count Map

Intersection:
Site Code:
Municipality:
Count Date:

CR 65 \& Mastwood Rd
2221100001
Port Hope
Jun 23, 2022


## Traffic Count Summary

CR 65 \& Mastwood Rd
2221100001
Port Hope
Jun 23, 2022

## CR 65 - Traffic Summary

| Hour | North Approach Totals |  |  |  |  |  | South Approach Totals |  |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Includes Cars, Trucks, Bicycles |  |  |  |  |  | Includes Cars, Trucks, Bicycles |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Left | Thru | Right | U-Turn | Total | Peds | Left | Thru | Right | U-Turn | Total | Peds |  |
| 07:00-08:00 | 1 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 35 |
| 08:00-09:00 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 29 |
| 09:00-10:00 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 1 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 26 |
| 10:00-11:00 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 1 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 30 |
| 11:00-12:00 | 2 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 1 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 32 |
| 12:00-13:00 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 3 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 14 |
| 13:00-14:00 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 29 |
| 14:00-15:00 | 1 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 3 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 37 |
| 15:00-16:00 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 14 |
| 16:00-17:00 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 31 | 2 | 0 | 33 | 0 | 55 |
| 17:00-18:00 | 4 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 1 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 45 |
| GRAND TOTAL | 8 | 167 | 0 | 0 | 175 | 0 | 0 | 157 | 14 | 0 | 171 | 0 | 346 |

## Traffic Count Summary

Intersection:
Site Code:
Municipality:
Count Date:

CR 65 \& Mastwood Rd
2221100001
Port Hope
Jun 23, 2022

## Mastwood Rd - Traffic Summary

| Hour | East Approach Totals |  |  |  |  |  | West Approach Totals |  |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Includes Cars, Trucks, Bicycles |  |  |  |  |  | Includes Cars, Trucks, Bicycles |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Left | Thru | Right | U-Turn | Total | Peds | Left | Thru | Right | U-Turn | Total | Peds |  |
| 07:00-08:00 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 08:00-09:00 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| 09:00-10:00 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 10:00-11:00 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| 11:00-12:00 | 2 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| 12:00-13:00 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 13:00-14:00 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 14:00-15:00 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| 15:00-16:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 16:00-17:00 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| 17:00-18:00 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| GRAND TOTAL | 13 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 30 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30 |

## Traffic Count Data

## Ontario Traffic Inc. <br> Traffic Monitoring • Services \& Products

| Intersection: | CR 65 \& Mastwood Rd |
| :--- | :--- |
| Site Code: | 2221100001 |
| Municipality: | Port Hope |
| Count Date: | Jun 23, 2022 |

North Approach - CR 65

| Start Time | Cars |  |  |  |  | Trucks |  |  |  |  | Bicycles |  |  |  |  | Total Peds |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 4 | 1 |  |  | Total | 4 |  |  | $\bigcirc$ | Total | 4 | + |  |  | Total |  |  |
| 07:00 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| 07:15 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| 07:30 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| 07:45 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| 08:00 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| 08:15 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| 08:30 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| 08:45 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| 09:00 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| 09:15 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| 09:30 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| 09:45 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| 10:00 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| 10:15 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| 10:30 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| 10:45 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| 11:00 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| 11:15 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| 11:30 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| 11:45 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |


| Start Time |  |  |  |  |  | Trucks |  |  |  |  | Bicycles |  |  |  |  | Total Peds |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 4 | + | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{1}$ | $\bigcirc$ | Total | 4 | + | $\xrightarrow{1}$ |  | Total | 4 | t | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{r}$ |  | Total |  |  |
| 12:00 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| 12:15 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| 12:30 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| 12:45 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| 13:00 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| 13:15 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| 13:30 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| 13:45 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| 14:00 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| 14:15 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| 14:30 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| 14:45 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| 15:00 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| 15:15 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| 15:30 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| 15:45 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| 16:00 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| 16:15 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| 16:30 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| 16:45 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| 17:00 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| 17:15 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| 17:30 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| 17:45 | 2 |  | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| SUBtotal | 8 | 163 | 0 | 0 | 171 | 0 | 4 |  | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { GRAND } \\ & \text { TOTAL } \end{aligned}$ | 8 | 163 | 0 | 0 | 171 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |

## Traffic Count Data

## Ontario Traffic Inc. <br> Traffic Monitoring • Services \& Products

| Intersection: | CR 65 \& Mastwood Rd |
| :--- | :--- |
| Site Code: | 2221100001 |
| Municipality: | Port Hope |
| Count Date: | Jun 23, 2022 |

South Approach - CR 65


| Start Time | Cars |  |  |  |  | Trucks |  |  |  |  | Bicycles |  |  |  |  | Total Peds |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 4 | - |  |  | Total | 4 | 1 |  | ? | Total | 4 | 1 |  |  | Total |  |  |
| 12:00 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| 12:15 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| 12:30 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| 12:45 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| 13:00 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| 13:15 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| 13:30 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| 13:45 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| 14:00 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| 14:15 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| 14:30 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| 14:45 | 0 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| 15:00 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| 15:15 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| 15:30 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| 15:45 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| 16:00 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| 16:15 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| 16:30 | 0 | 8 | 1 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| 16:45 | 0 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| 17:00 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| 17:15 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| 17:30 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| 17:45 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| SUBTOTAL | 0 | 148 | 14 | 0 | 162 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { GRAND } \\ & \text { TOTAL } \end{aligned}$ | 0 | 148 | 14 | 0 | 162 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |

## Traffic Count Data

## Ontario Traffic Inc. <br> Traffic Monitoring • Services \& Products

| Intersection: | CR 65 \& Mastwood Rd |
| :--- | :--- |
| Site Code: | 2221100001 |
| Municipality: | Port Hope |
| Count Date: | Jun 23, 2022 |

East Approach - Mastwood Rd

|  |  |  | ars |  |  |  |  | ucks |  |  |  |  | cycles |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | 4 | - |  |  | Total | 4 |  |  |  | Total |  | - | $\stackrel{1}{+}$ | ? | Total | Total Peds |  |
| 07:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| 07:15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| 07:30 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| 07:45 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| 08:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| 08:15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| 08:30 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| 08:45 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| 09:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| 09:15 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| 09:30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| 09:45 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 1 |
| 10:00 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| 10:15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| 10:30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| 10:45 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| 11:00 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| 11:15 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| 11:30 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| 11:45 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |


| Start Time | Cars |  |  |  |  | Trucks |  |  |  |  | Bicycles |  |  |  |  | Total Peds |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | - | - | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{1}$ |  | Total | 4 | 1 |  | $?$ | Total | 4 | 个 |  |  | Total |  |  |
| 12:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| 12:15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| 12:30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| 12:45 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| 13:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| 13:15 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| 13:30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| 13:45 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| 14:00 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| 14:15 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| 14:30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| 14:45 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| 15:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| 15:15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| 15:30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| 15:45 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| 16:00 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| 16:15 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| 16:30 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| 16:45 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| 17:00 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| 17:15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| 17:30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| 17:45 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| SUBTOTAL | 13 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 1 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { GRAND } \\ & \text { TOTAL } \end{aligned}$ | 13 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 1 |

## Peak Hour Diagram

## Ontario Traffic Inc. <br> Traffic Monitoring • Services \& Products

Specified Period
From:
To:

07:00:00
10:00:00

One Hour Peak
From:
07:15:00
To: 08:15:00

## Intersection:

Site Code:
CR 65 \& Mastwood Rd
2221100001
Count Date:
Jun 23, 2022

Weather conditions:

Clear
** Unsignalized Intersection **


Peds: 0

Peds: 0
Mastwood Rd


| Totals | $1 \Rightarrow$ ? |  |  | South Approach |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 12 | 0 | 0 |  | Out | In | Total |
| 日 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 日 | 10 | 20 | 30 |
| $0 \cdot 1$ | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 5 |
| 0 - | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 12 | 23 | 35 |

## Peak Hour Summary

## Ontario Traffic Inc. <br> Traffic Monitoring $\cdot$ Services \& Products

| Intersection: | CR 65 \& Mastwood Rd |
| :--- | :--- |
| Site Code: | 2221100001 |
| Count Date: | Jun 23, 2022 |
| Period: | $07: 00-10: 00$ |

Peak Hour Data (07:15-08:15)


## Peak Hour Diagram

# Ontario Traffic Inc． <br> Traffic Monitoring • Services \＆Products 

Specified Period
From：10：00：00
To：
14：00：00

One Hour Peak
From：
10：45：00
To：
11：45：00

## Intersection：

Site Code：
CR 65 \＆Mastwood Rd

Count Date：
2221100001
Jun 23， 2022

Weather conditions：Clear
＊＊Unsignalized Intersection＊＊


Peds： 0


Peds： 0

| Totals | $\uparrow \quad$－ |  |  | South Approach |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 16 | 2 | 0 |  | Out | In | Total |
| 日 | 15 | 2 | 0 | 日 | 17 | 15 | 32 |
| 50 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| \％ | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | CR 65 |  |  |  | 18 | 15 | 33 |

## Peak Hour Summary

## Ontario Traffic Inc. <br> Traffic Monitoring • Services \& Products

| Intersection: | CR 65 \& Mastwood Rd |
| :--- | :--- |
| Site Code: | 2221100001 |
| Count Date: | Jun 23, 2022 |
| Period: | $10: 00-14: 00$ |

Peak Hour Data (10:45-11:45)


## Peak Hour Diagram

# Ontario Traffic Inc． <br> Traffic Monitoring • Services \＆Products 

Specified Period
From：14：00：00
To：
18：00：00

One Hour Peak
From：
16：00：00
To：
17：00：00

## Intersection：

Site Code：
CR 65 \＆Mastwood Rd

Count Date：

2221100001
Jun 23， 2022

Weather conditions：Clear
＊＊Unsignalized Intersection＊＊


Peds： 0


Peds： 0

|  |  |  |  | South Approach |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Totals | 31 | 2 | 0 |  | Out | In | Total |
| ® | 28 | 2 | 0 | 日 | 30 | 26 | 56 |
| 5 | 3 | 0 | 0 |  | 3 | 0 | 3 |
| \％ | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | CR 65 |  |  |  | 33 | 26 | 59 |

## Peak Hour Summary

## Ontario Traffic Inc. <br> Traffic Monitoring • Services \& Products

| Intersection: | CR 65 \& Mastwood Rd |
| :--- | :--- |
| Site Code: | 2221100001 |
| Count Date: | Jun 23, 2022 |
| Period: | $14: 00-18: 00$ |

Peak Hour Data (16:00-17:00)


Appendix D
Level of Service Criteria for Unsignalized Intersections

According to the HCM 2010, T-intersections with a stop sign on the stem of the T are considered two-way stop-controlled intersections and have the same Level of Service (LOS) definitions and criteria as any Two-way Stop-Controlled intersection. For this type of intersection, the LOS is determined based on the control delay and is determined for each minor road lane group and the left-turn movement of the major road. The control delay, in this case, includes the delay due to deceleration to stop from the free-flow speed at the back of a queue (formed because of the stop sign), the move-up time within the queue, stopped delay at the front of the queve, and delay due to acceleration back to free-flow speed. The calculation of the control delay of a specific movement is a function of the flow rate and the capacity of this specific movement.

The description and criteria of the LOS at two-way stop-controlled intersections are summarized in the table below.

Table D - LOS for Two-Way Stop-Controlled Intersections

| Description of Conditions | Control Delay (sec/veh) | LOS by v/c Ratio |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c} \leq 1.0$ | $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}>1.0$ |
| No delay for stop-controlled approaches | 0-10 | A | F |
| Operations with minor delay | > 10-15 | B | F |
| Operations with moderate delay | $>15-25$ | C | F |
| Operations with some delay | > 25-35 | D | F |
| Operations with high delay | > $35-50$ | E | F |
| Operation with extreme congestion with very high delay | > 50 | F | F |

Appendix E
Synchro Reports for the Background Traffic Conditions

| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |



| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |





| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |


| Major/Minor | Minor1 | Major1 |  |  | Major2 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 197 | 127 | 0 | 0 | 129 | 0 |  |
| Stage 1 | 127 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Stage 2 | 70 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Critical Hdwy | 6.4 | 6.2 | - | - | 4.1 | - |  |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | 5.4 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | 5.4 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 3.5 | 3.3 | - | - | 2.2 | - |  |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 796 | 929 | - | - | 1469 | - |  |
| Stage 1 | 904 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Stage 2 | 958 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  |  | - | - |  | - |  |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 796 | 929 | - | - | 1469 | - |  |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | 796 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Stage 1 | 904 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Stage 2 | 958 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | WB |  | NB |  | SB |  |  |
| HCM Control Delay, s/v | /v 9.4 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |  |
| HCM LOS | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt |  | NBT | NBRWBLn1 |  | SBL | SBT |  |
| Capacity (veh/h) |  | - | - 836 |  | 1469 | - |  |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio |  | - |  | 0.022 | - | - |  |
| HCM Control Delay (s/veh) |  | - | - | 9.4 | 0 | - |  |
| HCM Lane LOS |  | - | - | A | A | - |  |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) |  | - |  | 0.1 | 0 | - |  |




| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |



HCM 2010 TWSC
3: County Road 65 \& Subdivision Entrance/Mastwood Road 2022 - AM Peak - Development Impact Condition



HCM 2010 TWSC
3: County Road 65 \& Subdivision Entrance/Mastwood Road 2027 - AM Peak - Development Impact Condition



HCM 2010 TWSC
3: County Road 65 \& Subdivision Entrance/Mastwood Road 2032 - AM Peak - Development Impact Condition



HCM 2010 TWSC
3: County Road 65 \& Subdivision Entrance/Mastwood Road 2022 - PM Peak - Development Impact Condition



HCM 2010 TWSC
3: County Road 65 \& Subdivision Entrance/Mastwood Road 2027 - PM Peak - Development Impact Condition



HCM 2010 TWSC
3: County Road 65 \& Subdivision Entrance/Mastwood Road 2032 - PM Peak - Development Impact Condition



Appendix G
Left Turn Lane Warrants Charts

Figure G1 - AM Peak (2032)


Figure G2 - PM Peak (2032)



[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Trip Generation Manual, Vol. 1, 2, and 3, 11 th ed. ITE, Washington, D.C., 2021.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ Transportation Association of Canada (TAC). Geometric Design Guide for Canadian Roads: Design Controls, Classification and Consistency. Transportation Association of Canada, 2017.

