

April 2, 2024

Larry MacDonell Hillstreet Developments Ltd. 2015 Altona Road Pickering, ON L1V 2B9

Via email: Imacdonell@rogers.com

Re: Erosion Hazard Assessment County Road 65, Osaca, Ontario D.M. Wills Associates Project No. 22-11056

PARTNERS IN ENGINEERING, PLANNING & ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES

> D.M. Wills Associates Limited (Wills) was retained by Hillstreet Developments Ltd. c/o Larry MacDonell (Client) to complete an Erosion Hazard Assessment (Assessment) at the property located on Part Lot 27, Concession 5, County Road 65, in the Village of Osaca, Ontario (Subject Property). The Assessment was requested by the Ganaraska Region Conservation Authority (GRCA) to establish hazard limits associated with valley slopes (Subject Slopes) located on the Subject Property. The Subject Property and Subject Slopes area are shown on **Figure 1**.

> The purpose of the Assessment was to establish the erosion hazard setbacks applicable to the Client's proposed development on the Subject Property. The proposed development is shown on the October 15, 2023, Draft Plan prepared by D.G. Biddle & Associates Limited, included in **Appendix A**.

Wills' Assessment was conducted on the basis of Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources (MNRF) Technical Guide for River & Stream Systems: Erosion Hazard Limit (2002) (Technical Guideline), and included a site reconnaissance, topographic survey, and erosion hazard analysis.

Professional Engineers
 Ontaria
 Sociation of consulting
 Sociation of consulting
 Sociation of consulting
 Sociation
 Sociation

The Subject Slopes are part of a confined valley system, with north and south facing valley walls that are less than 15 metres from an ephemeral stream. The east flowing stream is fed by a wetland in the northwest portion of the Subject Property, is conveyed through a culvert beneath County Road 65, and discharges into a wetland system to the east. At the time of Wills' Assessment, the stream was observed to be dry with the exception of an isolated area of surface water proximal to the culvert inlet west of County Road 65.





1.0 Slope Inspection

An inspection of the Subject Slopes was conducted on December 5 and December 12, 2023, on the basis of the Technical Guideline Table 4.1 – Slope Inspection Record (Table 4.1), included in **Appendix B**. The inspection included:

- A visual inspection of the bankfull characteristics of the watercourse, the top of slope, toe of slope, and slope face areas.
- A survey of the Subject Slopes to inform the erosion hazard setbacks.

No major disturbances in the form of slumping, tension cracking, or erosion were observed along the Subject Slopes at the time of the investigation. Additionally, no signs of active erosion associated with the ephemeral watercourse were observed. Observations made during the inspection and through a review of historical aerial imagery indicate that the Subject Slopes and valley floor are well vegetated with mature coniferous tree growth. Vegetation typical of wetland areas was observed west and east of the Subject Slopes and within the valley lands.

Due to the dense vegetation, the bankfull characteristics of the watercourse could not be determined. As a conservative measure, the bankfull width was taken as the distance between the north and south toe of slopes. The bankfull width ranged from to 4.5 m in the central portion of the valley system to 28 m in proximity to County Road 65.

Hand auger holes were advanced along the top of slopes, slope faces, and toe of slope areas. Hand auger holes were advanced to a maximum depth of 1.2 metres below ground (mbg) at the top of slopes, and 0.6 mbg at the toe of slopes. Shallow soil composition was described as sand with trace amounts of silt along the top of slopes, and sand with varying amounts of silt and clay at the toe of slopes. Groundwater was encountered at 0.4 mbg in proximity to County Road 65 within the wetland area.

The Subject Slopes were evaluated using Table 4.2 – Slope Stability Rating Chart (Table 4.2) in the Technical Guideline. Wills determined a slope instability rating of 16. The completed Table 4.2 is included in **Appendix B**. A photographic log showing the Subject Slopes and surrounding areas is included in **Appendix C**.



2.0 Erosion Hazard Analysis

Topographic contours for the Subject Slopes are shown on **Figure 2**, as determined through Wills' survey.

Eight slope profiles (four per slope) were assessed, and the respective section lines are shown on **Figure 2**. The following is provided with respect to the slope profiles:

- The Subject Slopes are considered stable and maintain slope gradients that range from 5H:1V (Horizontal: Vertical) to 18H:1V.
 - Stable slopes are considered to have gradients equal to or shallower than 3H:1V.

In view of the stable slope conditions and observations made during Wills' Assessment, the following is provided with respect to the applicable erosion hazard setbacks.

- A conservative Toe Erosion Allowance of 15 m was applied based on the Technical Guideline.
 - If using Table 3 of the Technical Guideline, the Toe Erosion allowance could be reduced to 5 m based on a bankfull width between 5-30 m, no evidence of active erosion, and loose granular soils (sand).
- A 3H:1V stable slope allowance was applied from the Toe Erosion Allowance (projected into the shallower existing slope profiles).
 - In view of the existing stable slopes (5H:1V to 18H:1V), the stable slope allowance daylights along the existing slope faces and does not project beyond the physical top of slope.
- An Erosion Access Allowance of 6 m was applied beyond the stable slope allowance.
- In view of the stable slope conditions, all erosion hazard limits are contained within the gently sloping valley walls.

Figure 3 shows the erosion hazard limits, including the physical top of slope, toe of slope, and applicable hazard limits.

It should be noted that both the physical top of slopes and the erosion hazard limits are contained within the proposed 30 m wetland setbacks determined through Wills' Environmental Impact Study (EIS), provided under separate cover. The wetland setbacks are shown on **Figure 3**.



3.0 Conclusions and Recommendations

Based on Wills' Assessment, the following conclusion and recommendations are provided:

- Wills Assessment considered the north and south slopes (Subject Slopes) of the confined valley system on the Subject Property.
- The valley system is heavily vegetated and contains an ephemeral stream that was dry during Wills' site investigations.
- The Subject Slopes are considered stable and maintain gradients between 5H:1V to 18H:1V.
- No major disturbances in the form of slumping, tension cracking, or erosion were observed, including any sign of active erosion associated with the ephemeral watercourse.
- Erosion hazard limits including a 15 m Toe Erosion Allowance, a stable 3H:1V slope allowance (daylighting on the Subject Slope faces), and a 6 m erosion access allowance were applied.
- Wills considers the erosion hazard limits conservative in view of the existing stable slope conditions, heavily vegetated valley floor and walls, and ephemeral nature of the watercourse.
- The applied erosion hazard limits, including the physical top of slopes, are contained within the 30 m wetland buffer conveyed in Wills' ElS report.
- The proposed development is required to stay outside of the identified erosion hazard limits identified in this report.
- It is Wills' professional opinion that this Erosion Hazard Assessment supports the Client's application for development under Ontario Regulation 159/06.



April 2, 2024 We trust that the information contained in and attached to this report meets your needs at this time. The following Statement of Limitations should be read

your needs at this time. The following Statement of Limitations should be read carefully and is an integral part of this report. Do not hesitate to contact the undersigned if you have any questions or concerns.

Respectfully Submitted,

Prepared By:

ter

Lynsey Tuters, B.A., C. Tech. Environmental Project Technologist

Reviewed By:

20

Ian Ames, M.Sc., P.Geo. Environmental Monitoring and Management Team Lead

LT/IA/ck

Enclosure: Figures Appendices



Statement of Limitations

This report is intended solely for Hillstreet Developments Ltd. c/o Larry MacDonell (Client) in assessing erosion hazards on the property located on Part Lot 27, Concession 5, County Road 65, in the Village of Osaca, Ontario, and is prohibited for use by others without D.M. Wills Associates Limited's (Wills) prior written consent. This report is considered Wills' professional work product and shall remain the sole property of Wills. Any unauthorized reuse, redistribution of or reliance on this report shall be at the Client and recipient's sole risk, without liability to Wills. The Client shall defend, indemnify and hold Wills harmless from any liability arising from or related to the Client's unauthorized distribution of the report. No portion of this report may be used as a separate entity; it is to be read in its entirety and shall include supporting drawings and appendices.

The recommendations made in this report are based on Wills' present understanding of the project, the current and proposed site use, ground and subsurface conditions, and are based on the work scope approved by the Client and described in the report. The services were performed in a manner consistent with the level of care and skill ordinarily exercised by members of geoscience or engineering professions currently practicing under similar conditions in the same locality. No other representations, and no warranties or representations of any kind, either expressed or implied, are made. Any use which a third party makes of this report, or any reliance on or decisions to be made based on it, are the sole responsibility of such third parties.

The recommendations and comments made in this report are based on Wills' investigations and resulting understanding of the project, as defined at the time of the assignment. Wills should be retained to review our recommendations when the final or any modified design drawings and specifications are complete. Without this review, Wills shall not be liable for any misunderstanding of our recommendations or their application and adaptation.

Soil, bedrock, and groundwater conditions between and beyond the test locations may differ both horizontally and vertically from those encountered at the test locations. Should any conditions at the Subject Property be encountered which differ from those found at the test locations, Wills must be notified immediately in order to permit a reassessment of our recommendations. If different conditions are identified, no matter how minor, the recommendations in this report shall be considered invalid until sufficient review and written assessment of said conditions by Wills is completed.

Figures







Legend

- ----- Limit of Topographic Survey
- -160.0 Contour Line
- Section Line
- 18:1 Existing Slope Gradient

Subject Slope Topographic Map

Erosion Hazard Assessment County Road 65 Osaca, Ontario



drawn by M.G.	SCALE Horz. 1:1250
CHECKED L.T.	Vert. —
ENGINEER	PLOT DATE Dec 21, 2023
PROJECT No. 11056	bwg. File Figure 2



Appendix A

Draft Plan





Appendix B

Table 4.1 & Table 4.2



TABLE 4.1 - Slope Inspection Record * APPLICABLE TO BOTH NORTH & SOUTH SLOPES 1. FILE NAME / NO. LOSG INSPECTION DATE (DDMMYY): Dec 5, 2023 WEATHER (circle): • sunny • partly cloudy (cloudy (· calm) · breeze · windy · clear · fog · rain · snow · cold cool · warm · hot estimated air temperature: INSPECTED BY (name): (40.05 ~ 5°C N 2. SITE LOCATION (describe main roads, features) SKETCH 3. WATERSHED 4. PROPERTY OWNERSHIP (name, address, phone): LEGAL DESCRIPTION Lot Concession Township County CURRENT LAND USE (circle and describe) •vacant-field, bush, woods, forest, wilderness, tundra, - Field to the north, Field/rural residuted propry to South • passive -recreational parks, golf courses, non-habitable structures, buried utilities, swimming pools, active -habitable structures, residential, commercial, industrial, warehousing and storage. infra-structure or public use - stadiums, hospitals, schools, bridges, high voltage power lines, waste management sites, 5. SLOPE DATA HEIGHT (•3-6m)•6-10m •10-15m •15-20m • 20 - 25 m • 25 - 30 m • > 30 m estimated height (m): 2 - 3 ~~ INCLINATION AND SHAPE 4:1 or flatter • up to 2:1 • up to 3.1 25 % 14° 33 % 18 50 % 26 ° • up to 1:1 • up to :1 steeper than :1 100 % 45° 200 % 63 ° > 63 ° NO specific guillys or prosion Frantures, lands to the north & south of subject slope(s) strain tend to drain teneards the slope(s) 6. SLOPE DRAINAGE (describe) FACE BOTTOM Lo collected water stagnant, or slowly Flowing East (slow trickle of water moving East at the enhants)

Property Owner: nspected By: CO	Inspection Date: Dec 5/23 Weather: Chandy, 5°C	
. SLOPE INCLINATION		
degrees	horiz. : vert.	
(a) 18 or less	3:1 or flatter	\bigcirc
b) 18 - 26	2:1 to more than 3:1	6
c) more than 26	steeper than 2 : 1	16
2. SOIL STRATIGRAPHY		
a) Shale, Limestone, Granite (Bedrock)		0
b) Sand, Gravel		6
c) Glacial Till		9
d) Clay, Silt		12
e) Fill		16
f) Leda Clay		24
. SEEPAGE FROM SLOPE FACE		
(a) None or Near bottom only		
b) Near mid-slope only		6
c) Near crest only or, From several level	S	12
. SLOPE HEIGHT		
a) 2 m or less		0
(b) 2.1 to 5 m		2
c) 5.1 to 10 m		4
d) more than 10 m		8
VEGETATION COVER ON SLOPE FACE		
(a) Well vegetated; heavy shrubs or fores	sted with mature trees	(0)
b) Light vegetation; Mostly grass, weeds	, occasional trees, shrubs	4
c) No vegetation, bare		8
. TABLE LAND DRAINAGE		
a) Table land flat, no apparent drainage	over slope	0
(b) Minor drainage over slope, no active e	erosion	2
c) Drainage over slope, active erosion, g	ullies	4
PROXIMITY OF WATERCOURSE TO SLO	PETOE	
a)15 metres or more from slope toe		0
bless than 15 metres from slope toe		6
PREVIOUS LANDSLIDE ACTIVITY		
(a) No		(0)
b) Yes		6
		il TOTAL

Appendix C

Photolog





Client Name: Larry MacDonell	Site Location: Part Lot 27, Concession 5,
	County Road 65, Village of Osaca, Ontario





Photograph No.: 6

Date:

December 05, 2023

Direction:

Northwest

Description:

Bottom of North Slope proximal to wetland area on Subject Property. Mature trees visible. Stream is dry. Slope has flattened in this area.

