

Engineers, Planners & Landscape Architects

Engineering

Land/Site Development

Municipal Infrastructure

Environmental/ Water Resources

Traffic/ Transportation

Recreational

Planning

Land/Site Development

Planning Application Management

Municipal Planning

Urban Design

Expert Witness (LPAT)

Wireless Industry

Landscape Architecture

Streetscapes & Public Amenities

Open Space, Parks & Recreation

Community & Residential

Commercial & Institutional

Environmental Restoration

Southbridge Port Hope

Servicing and Stormwater Management Report

Revised January 25th, 2022



SERVICING AND STORMWATER MANAGEMENT REPORT

SOUTHBRIDGE PORT HOPE 65 WARD STREET / 20 HOPE STREET SOUTH, PORT HOPE, ONTARIO

Prepared by:

NOVATECH Suite 200, 240 Michael Cowpland Drive Kanata, Ontario K2M 1P6

June 14, 2021 Revised November 12th, 2021 Revised January 25th, 2022

> Novatech File: 120226 Ref No. R-2021-091



January 25th, 2022

Municipality of Port Hope 5 Mill Street South Port Hope, ON L1A 2S6

Attention: Tom Dodds, Director of Community Development

Dear Sir:

Reference: Southbridge Port Hope 65 Ward Street / 20 Hope Street South, Port Hope, ON Servicing and Stormwater Management Report Our File No. : 120226

Please find enclosed the revised 'Servicing and Stormwater Management Report' for the above noted development.

Should you have any questions or require additional information, please contact the undersigned. Yours truly,

NOVATECH

Cara Ruddle, P. Eng. Senior Project Manager | Land Development Engineering

M:\2020\120226\DATA\REPORTS\SWM\SUBMISSION 3\120226-SERVICING&SWM .DOCX

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0		1
2.0	EXISTING CONDITIONS	1
3.0	PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT	1
4.0	SITE CONSTRAINTS	1
5.0	WATER SERVICING	1
6.0	SANITARY SERVICING	2
7.0	STORM SERVICING	3
8.0	STORMWATER MANAGEMENT	3
8.1 8.2 8.3	Stormwater Management Criteria Quantity Control Quality Control	3 3 4
8.4	Major Overland Flow Route	5
9.0	EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL	5
9.1	Temporary Measures	5
10.0	CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	6

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1	Key Plan
Figure 2	Existing Conditions Plan
Figure 3	Proposed Site Plan

LIST OF APPENDICIES

Appendix A	Water Servicing Information
Appendix B	Sanitary Servicing Information
Appendix C	Stormwater Management Calculations
Appendix D	CIMA Hydraulic Modelling Report
Appendix E	Drawings

LIST OF ENGINEERING DRAWINGS

General Plan of Services Interim Conditions	(120226-GP1)
General Plan of Services Ultimate Conditions	(120226-GP2)
Grading Plan Interim Conditions	(120226-GR1)
Grading Plan Ultimate Conditions	(120226-GR2)
Noted and Details Plan	(120226-NDP)

1.0 INTRODUCTION

Novatech has been retained to prepare a Servicing and Stormwater Management Report for the proposed Southbridge Long Term Care Facility at 65 Ward Street / 20 Hope Street South within the Municipality of Port Hope. This report will support a Site Plan Application for the subject development. **Figure 1** Key Plan shows the site location.

2.0 EXISTING CONDITIONS

The site is currently 1.08 hectares in size. The site is bounded to the west by Princess Street, to the north by Ward Street, to the east by Hope Street and the south by residential homes. There are currently 4 buildings and associated parking areas within the property including a large two storey brick building which is the Hope Street Terrace long term care home (65 Ward Street / 20 Hope Street South), a two storey brick residential building (18 Hope Street), a one storey brick maintenance building and a three storey brick building which was previously the Port Hope Villa (hospital facility, 65 Ward Street). The topography generally slopes towards the north western corner towards the intersection of Princess Street and Ward Street. **Figure 2** shows the existing site conditions.

3.0 PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT

It is proposed to develop the site with a 7-storey long term care facility. The existing Hope Terrace long term care facility will be maintained and operational while the new facility is built. Once the new facility is built and operational the existing facility will be demolished. Refer to **Figure 3** for the proposed site layout.

4.0 SITE CONSTRAINTS

A geotechnical investigation was completed for the subject development entitled 'Geotechnical Investigation, Proposed Residential Building, 65 Ward Street, Port Hope, Ontario' prepared by Terraprobe Inc., dated December 11, 2019. The report noted that the site has a fill layer underlain by glacial till consisting of clayey silt or silty sand. No bedrock was encountered in any of the boreholes. Also, an Environmental Activity and Sector Registry (EASR) may be required for dewatering purposes depending on groundwater conditions at the time of construction.

5.0 WATER SERVICING

There is an existing 150mm diameter watermain along Princess Street, an existing 150mm diameter watermain along Ward Street and a 200mm diameter watermain along Hope Street South. It is proposed to service the building by connecting a 200mm diameter water service to the existing watermain along Hope Street South. There are existing hydrants along Princess Street, Ward Street and Hope Street that can provide fire protection. The existing hydrant along Ward Street is within 45 metres of the proposed siamese connection at the front of the proposed building. Refer to the General Plan of Services (120226-GP2) for water servicing information.





(613) 254-9643 (613) 254-5867 www.novatech-eng.com

Telephone Facsimile Website 20 HOPE STREET PORT HOPE, ONTARIO

KEY PLAN

MAY 2021 120226

FIG 1





20 HOPE STREET PORT HOPE, ONTARIO EXISTING CONDITIONS PLAN (613) 254-9643 (613) 254-5867 www.novatech-eng.com FIG 2 MAY 2021 120226



The MOE Design guidelines provides a range for domestic water demands between 270 to 450 L/cap/day. Therefore, to determine a specific demand for the proposed development, the OBC Section 8: Sewer Systems was used. Table 8.2.1.3.B allocates a daily design sanitary flow of 450L/bed/day for "Long-Term Care Homes". The flow rate of 450L/bed/day was used for the average water demand for the proposed nursing home. The water demand has been calculated based on 192 beds and the results are summarized as follows:

Avg Day = 1.00 L/s Max. Day = 2.75 L/s Peak Hourly Demand = 4.13 L/s

The required fire demand was calculated using the Fire Underwriters Survey (FUS) Guidelines. The proposed building is to be sprinklered with the siamese connection located by the front entrance of the building. The required fire demand was calculated to be 1,321 USGPM (or 5,000 L/min). Refer to **Appendix A** for a copy of the water calculations.

Water demands and fire flow calculations were provided to CIMA to add to the Municipality's water model and provide boundary conditions. A reported entitled "Water Distribution System Hydraulic Modelling for Development at 20 Hope Street South" was subsequently prepared to discuss the impacts the development would have on the surrounding water infrastructure. This report has been included in **Appendix D**. The analysis determined that there was an available fire flow of 9,000L/min in the vicinity of the site, which was greater than the required 5,000L/min. It was also recommended that a pressure reducing valve should be reviewed as part of the building's mechanical design. The report concluded that there was adequate fire flow for the proposed development and the pressure in the vicinity of the site would not be negatively affected.

6.0 SANITARY SERVICING

There is an existing 200mm diameter sanitary sewer on Princess Street, and a 375mm diameter sanitary sewer on Hope Street. There are existing 200mm diameter and 600mm diameter sanitary sewers sewer along Ward Street. It is proposed construct a private 200mm diameter sanitary service that will connect directly into the 600mm diameter sanitary sewer along Ward Street. Refer to the General Plan of Services (120226-GP2) for sanitary servicing information.

The Ontario Building Code Section 8: Sewage Systems was used to calculate the theoretical sanitary flows for the 7-storey nursing home. The sanitary flows are based on 192 beds and an average daily flows of 450L/day per bed. The total theoretical peak flow for the development is calculated to be 3.57L/s. Sanitary flow calculations are included in **Appendix B** for reference. Based on discussions with the Town, it is understood that the 600mm diameter sanitary sewer on Ward Street has no capacity issues.

7.0 STORM SERVICING

Stormwater from Princess Street drains into roadside ditches which drain to a catchbasin by the intersection of Princess Street and Ward Street. The existing catchbasin outlets to an existing 300mm diameter storm sewer which connects to the existing 525mm diameter and 900mm diameter storm sewer along Ward Street. There is also an existing 375mm diameter and 450mm diameter storm sewer along Hope Street.

It is proposed to service the property with a private storm sewer system ranging in size from 300mm to 450mm diameter. The private storm sewer system will outlet to the existing 525mm diameter storm sewer on Ward Street. The parking lot and landscaped area surface drainage will be directed towards catchbasins and conveyed to the private storm sewer system. Roof and foundation drainage from the proposed building will also be directed to the private storm sewer system. The storm servicing information is shown on the General Plan of Services (120226-GP2).

8.0 STORMWATER MANAGEMENT

8.1 Stormwater Management Criteria

A document entitled 'Technical and Engineering Guidelines for Stormwater Management Submissions', prepared by the Ganaraska Region Conservation Authority, dated December 2014 provides the stormwater management criteria for the proposed development. The subject site is located within the Ganaraska River watershed. The stormwater management criteria for this watershed is as follows:

- Quantity control of stormwater is required to pre-development conditions for storms up to and including the 100-year storm event.
- Quality control is to be provided to an enhanced level or 80% removal of total suspended solids.

8.2 Quantity Control

Stormwater from storms up to and including the 100-year storm event will be controlled to the 5year pre-development condition prior to outletting to the existing 525mm diameter storm sewer along Ward Street. A runoff coefficient of 0.54 was used to calculate the allowable release rate of 153.6L/s.

Stormwater storage will be provided by ponding stormwater on the roof of the building as well as underground in storm sewers and on the surface in a landscaped area. Orifice controls in catchbasins and manholes and roof drain controls will be used to control the release of stormwater to the allowable release rate prior to outletting to the existing storm sewer along Ward Street.

A Post-Development Drainage Plan is provided in **Appendix C** which shows the proposed drainage areas and limits of 5 and 100 year storm event surface ponding. Stormwater management calculations including runoff coefficients, flows, storage required and storage provided for each of the drainage areas is provided in **Appendix C**. **Table 8** below summarizes these calculations.

Table	Second state Second state<										
			5 Year Sto	rm Event		100 Year Storm Event					
Area ID	Area (ha)	1:5 Year Weighted Cw	Release (L/s)	Ponding Depth (m)	Req'd Vol (cu.m)	Release (L/s)	Ponding Depth (m)	Req'd Vol (cu.m)	Max. Vol. Provided (cu.m.)		
A1	0.190	0.90	9.0	0.06	28.2	14.6	0.13	56.2	80.4		
A2-A5	0.230	0.44	26.9	N/A	N/A	29.0	N/A	12.1	12.2		
A6- A11	0.650	0.58	98.8	N/A	N/A	102.1	0.30	46.3	46.3		
A12	0.010	0.20	0.5	N/A	N/A	1.0	N/A	N/A	N/A		
То	tal		135.2			146.8					
Allov	vable		153.6			153.6					

8.3 Quality Control

Oil Grit Separator (OGS) Unit

Quality control of stormwater shall be provided to an enhanced level of treatment or 80% removal of total suspended solids. Quality control of stormwater for the site will be provided through the installation of an oil grit separator unit. The proposed OGS unit is a PMSU2020_5 which will provide enhanced levels of water quality prior to discharging into the municipal sewers. The target level of protection for long term removal of 80% total suspended solids with an overall treatment of over 90% of the total runoff.

Refer to **Appendix C** for the CDS unit design, performance and annual TSS removal efficiency data.

Best Management Practices

Best Management Practices shall also be implemented to reduce transport of sediments and promote on-site groundwater recharge. The Best Management Practices to be implemented are as follows:

- The drainage system for the development will consists of grassed swales to convey runoff from primarily landscaped areas. Drainage from the hard-surfaced parking lots will discharge to a storm sewer which will directly convey stormwater to the oil grit system prior to its release from the site.
- Swales are to be vegetated and constructed at minimum grade, where possible.
- Stormwater from roof areas is considered to be 'clean' and with roof leaders draining to grassed yards and grassed swales, quality control of stormwater in these areas is not required.

8.4 Major Overland Flow Route

A major overland flow route will be provided for storms greater than the 100-year storm event. Stormwater will be directed to the existing road allowances surrounding the site (Princess Street, Ward Street and Hope Street). The major overland system is shown on the Grading Plan (Interim and Ultimate Conditions) (dwg 120226-GR1 & 2).

9.0 EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL

9.1 Temporary Measures

Temporary erosion and sediment control measures will be implemented during construction. Silt fence, mud mats and filter socks in catchbasins will be used as erosion and sediment control measures.

Erosion and sediment control measures should be inspected daily and after every rain event to determine maintenance, repair or replacement requirements. Sediments or granulars that enter site sewers shall be removed immediately by the contractor. These measures will be implemented prior to the commencement of construction and maintained in good order until vegetation has been established. Refer to the Grading Plan (Interim and Ultimate Conditions) (dwg 120226-GR1 & 2) for additional information.

10.0 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

- Water servicing for the proposed development will be provided by a private 200mm diameter water service that connects to the existing 200mm diameter watermain in Hope Street South.
- Sanitary servicing will be provided by a private 200mm diameter sanitary service that will connect to the existing 600mm diameter sanitary sewer on Ward Street.
- Quantity control of stormwater will be provided for storms up to and including the 100-year storm event. Stormwater will be stored underground in the storm sewer system, on the surface by ponding around catchbasins in landscaped areas and on building roofs. The allowable release rate for the site is 153.6 L/s and the post-development stormwater release rates are 135.6 L/s and 146.8 L/s for the 5 and 100-year events respectively.
- Quality control of stormwater will be provided through the installation of an Oil and Grit Separator Unit.
- An overland flow route is provided;
- Erosion and sediment control measures will be implemented prior to and during construction.

NOVATECH

Prepared by:

Larry Colbran Senior Design Technologist Land Development Engineering

Reviewed by:

Cara Ruddle, P.Eng Senior Project Manager Land Development Engineering

APPENDIX A Water Servicing Information



20 Hope Street Southbridge Nursing Home Water Demand

Water Demand										
	Resid	lential		D	emand (L/s	s)				
Node	Units	Total		Avg Day	Max. Daily	Peak Hour				
	Beds	Fob								
1	192	192		1.00	2.75	4.13				

Notes:

from Ontario Building code Table 8.2.1.3B:		
- Nursing Homes, Rest Homes	450	L/Bed/Day
<u>Avg. Daily Demand:</u>		
- OBC	450	L/Bed/Day
<u>Max. Daily Demand:</u>		
- Domestic (MOE Drinking Water		
Design Guideline)	2.75	x Avg. Day
<u>Peak Hourly Demand:</u>		
- Domestic (MOE Drinking Water		
Design Guideline)	4.13	x Avg. Day

FUS - Fire Flow Calculations

As per 1999 Fire Underwriter's Survey Guidelines

Novatech Project #: 120226 Project Name: Southbridge Port Hope Date: 6/11/2021 Input By: Paul Newcombe Reviewed By: Cara Ruddle



Engineers, Planners & Landscape Architects

Input by User

Legend

No Information or Input Required

Building Description: 7 Storey Long Term Care Home Fire Resistive Construction

						Total Fire				
Step			Choose		Value Used	Flow				
						(L/min)				
Base Fire Flow										
	Construction Ma	aterial		Multi	plier					
	Coefficient	Wood frame		1.5						
1	related to type	Ordinary construction		1						
-	of construction	Non-combustible construction		0.8	0.6					
	C	Modified Fire resistive construction (2 hrs)	Yes	0.6						
	•	Fire resistive construction (> 3 hrs)		0.6						
	Floor Area									
		Building Footprint (m ²)	1900							
	٨	Number of Floors/Storeys	7							
2	^	Protected Openings (1 hr)	Yes							
		Area of structure considered (m ²)			2,850					
	-	Base fire flow without reductions				7 000				
	E E	$F = 220 C (A)^{0.5}$				7,000				
		Reductions or Surc	harges							
	Occupancy haza	rd reduction or surcharge	•	Reduction/	Surcharge					
		Non-combustible		-25%	g-					
		l imited combustible	Yes	-15%						
3	3 (1)	Compustible			-15%	5.950				
	(-/	Free burning		15%		-,				
		Rapid burning		25%						
	Sprinkler Reduc	tion		Redu	ction					
	· ·	Adequately Designed System (NFPA 13)	Yes	-30%	-30%					
4		Standard Water Supply	Yes	-10%	-10%					
	(2)	Fully Supervised System	Yes	-10%	-10%	-2,975				
			Cun	nulative Total	-50%					
	Exposure Surch	arge (cumulative %)			Surcharge					
		North Side	20 1 - 30 m		10%					
_		East Side	20.1 - 30 m		10%					
5	(3)	South Side	> 45.1m		0%	1,785				
	(-)	West Side	20 1 - 30 m		10%	,				
			Cum	nulative Total	30%					
	•	Results	-							
	1									
6	$(4) \pm (2) \pm (2)$	Total Required Fire Flow, rounded to near	est 1000L/mir	ו	L/min	5,000				
0	(1) · (2) + (3)	(2,000 L/min < Fire Flow < 45,000 L/min)		or	L/s	83				
		· · · · /		or	USGPM	1,321				
_		Required Duration of Fire Flow (hours)			Hours	1.75				
7	Storage Volume	Required Volume of Fire Flow (m ³)			m ³	525				

	FUS - Fire Flow Calculations	- User G	uide - Fire Resist	ive						
	Novatech Project #: 120226 Project Name: Southbridge Port Hope Date: 6/11/2021 Input By: Paul Newcombe	 Please use Flow Calculat When in do architect/own 	the notes below as a guide wh ions ubt, confirm construction mate er	en completing the FUS Fire rial, firewalls, etc. with						
	Reviewed By: Cara Ruddle	When in doubt, err on conservative side								
	Note: This form only applies for Fire Resistive									
	Enter a description of the building or unit being cons	idered, i.e. use	e/most stringent condition/add	ess						
			Summary							
			Construction Type	Fire Resistive Construction						
			Floor Area Considered Occupancy Reduction	2,850 m ² -15%						
	Base Fire Flow		Sprinkler Reduction	-50%						
	Construction Material		Exposure Surcharge	30%						
	Does not apply for this form		Total Fire Flow	5,000 L/min						
1	Does not apply for this form		Project Manager Review							
•	Does not apply for this form		Date	:						
	Only Use if can be confirmed with client/architect (IS	SO CI 5)	Name	:						
	Only Use if can be confirmed with client/architect (is	SU CI 6)	Signaturo							
	If considered gross floor area, then enter 1 floor/stor	ev. If Fire wall	then reduce footprint accordin	ngly.						
	Un-Protected 5 = number of floors above f	first 2, up to m	ax of 10 floors total	.3.7.						
2	Do vertical openings have minimum 1 hour rating be	tween floors?	Confirm this with the architect.							
-	Protected 2 =number of additional imm	nediately adjoir	ning floors to be considered, up	o to 2						
	Do vertical openings have minimum 1 hour rating be	tween floors?	Confirm this with the architect.							
	Reductions or Surcharges									
	Reductions or Surcharges Occupancy hazard reduction or surcharge									
	Reductions or Surcharges Occupancy hazard reduction or surcharge Residential - with no garage									
3	Reductions or Surcharges Occupancy hazard reduction or surcharge Residential - with no garage Residential - with garage									
3	Reductions or Surcharges Occupancy hazard reduction or surcharge Residential - with no garage Residential - with garage General Commercial - Generally, no reduction									
3	Reductions or Surcharges Occupancy hazard reduction or surcharge Residential - with no garage Residential - with garage General Commercial - Generally, no reduction Check usage with FUS Check usage with FUS									
3	Reductions or Surcharges Occupancy hazard reduction or surcharge Residential - with no garage Residential - with garage General Commercial - Generally, no reduction Check usage with FUS Check usage with FUS Sprinkler Reduction									
3	Reductions or Surcharges Occupancy hazard reduction or surcharge Residential - with no garage Residential - with garage General Commercial - Generally, no reduction Check usage with FUS Check usage with FUS Sprinkler Reduction									
3	Reductions or Surcharges Occupancy hazard reduction or surcharge Residential - with no garage Residential - with garage General Commercial - Generally, no reduction Check usage with FUS Check usage with FUS Sprinkler Reduction Only Use if can be confirmed with client/architect									
3	Reductions or Surcharges Occupancy hazard reduction or surcharge Residential - with no garage Residential - with garage General Commercial - Generally, no reduction Check usage with FUS Check usage with FUS Sprinkler Reduction Only Use if can be confirmed with client/architect Only Use if can be confirmed with client/architect									
3	Reductions or Surcharges Occupancy hazard reduction or surcharge Residential - with no garage Residential - with garage General Commercial - Generally, no reduction Check usage with FUS Check usage with FUS Sprinkler Reduction Only Use if can be confirmed with client/architect Only Use if can be confirmed with client/architect									
3	Reductions or Surcharges Occupancy hazard reduction or surcharge Residential - with no garage Residential - with garage General Commercial - Generally, no reduction Check usage with FUS Check usage with FUS Sprinkler Reduction Only Use if can be confirmed with client/architect Only Use if can be confirmed with client/architect Exposure Surcharge (cumulative %)									
3	Reductions or Surcharges Occupancy hazard reduction or surcharge Residential - with no garage Residential - with garage General Commercial - Generally, no reduction Check usage with FUS Check usage with FUS Sprinkler Reduction Only Use if can be confirmed with client/architect Only Use if can be confirmed with client/architect Exposure Surcharge (cumulative %) For Fire walls: FUS considers a Fire wall to have a magnetic sector of the	ninimum 2 hou	Ir rating per NBC.							
3 4 5	Reductions or Surcharges Occupancy hazard reduction or surcharge Residential - with no garage Residential - with garage General Commercial - Generally, no reduction Check usage with FUS Check usage with FUS Sprinkler Reduction Only Use if can be confirmed with client/architect Only Use if can be confirmed with client/architect For Fire walls: FUS considers a Fire wall to have a magnetic section	ninimum 2 hou	ir rating per NBC.							
3 4 5	Reductions or Surcharges Occupancy hazard reduction or surcharge Residential - with no garage Residential - with garage General Commercial - Generally, no reduction Check usage with FUS Check usage with FUS Sprinkler Reduction Only Use if can be confirmed with client/architect Only Use if can be confirmed with client/architect For Fire walls: FUS considers a Fire wall to have a magnetic sector of the sector of t	ninimum 2 hou	rrating per NBC.							
3 4 5	Reductions or Surcharges Occupancy hazard reduction or surcharge Residential - with no garage Residential - with garage General Commercial - Generally, no reduction Check usage with FUS Check usage with FUS Sprinkler Reduction Only Use if can be confirmed with client/architect Only Use if can be confirmed with client/architect For Fire walls: FUS considers a Fire wall to have a mage	ninimum 2 hou	r rating per NBC.							
3 4 5	Reductions or Surcharges Occupancy hazard reduction or surcharge Residential - with no garage Residential - with garage General Commercial - Generally, no reduction Check usage with FUS Check usage with FUS Sprinkler Reduction Only Use if can be confirmed with client/architect Only Use if can be confirmed with client/architect For Fire walls: FUS considers a Fire wall to have a non- Results	ninimum 2 hou	Ir rating per NBC.							
3 4 5	Reductions or Surcharges Occupancy hazard reduction or surcharge Residential - with no garage Residential - with garage General Commercial - Generally, no reduction Check usage with FUS Check usage with FUS Sprinkler Reduction Only Use if can be confirmed with client/architect Only Use if can be confirmed with client/architect For Fire walls: FUS considers a Fire wall to have a main for Fire walls: FUS considers a Fir	ninimum 2 hou	Ir rating per NBC.							
3 4 5 6	Reductions or Surcharges Occupancy hazard reduction or surcharge Residential - with no garage Residential - with garage General Commercial - Generally, no reduction Check usage with FUS Check usage with FUS Sprinkler Reduction Only Use if can be confirmed with client/architect Only Use if can be confirmed with client/architect For Fire walls: FUS considers a Fire wall to have a main for Fire walls: FUS considers a Fir	ninimum 2 hou	nal considerations to cap this v	//////////////////////////////////////						
3 4 5 6	Reductions or Surcharges Occupancy hazard reduction or surcharge Residential - with no garage Residential - with garage General Commercial - Generally, no reduction Check usage with FUS Check usage with FUS Sprinkler Reduction Only Use if can be confirmed with client/architect Only Use if can be confirmed with client/architect Exposure Surcharge (cumulative %) For Fire walls: FUS considers a Fire wall to have a main to hav	ninimum 2 hou I-02 for additio	rr rating per NBC.	/alue at 10,000L/min						
3 4 5 6	Reductions or Surcharges Occupancy hazard reduction or surcharge Residential - with no garage Residential - with garage General Commercial - Generally, no reduction Check usage with FUS Check usage with FUS Sprinkler Reduction Only Use if can be confirmed with client/architect Only Use if can be confirmed with client/architect Exposure Surcharge (cumulative %) For Fire walls: FUS considers a Fire wall to have a non- Results NOTE: Refer to City Technical Bulletin ISDTB-2014 If IGPM is needed, divide USGPM by 1.20095	ninimum 2 hou I-02 for additio	rr rating per NBC.	//////////////////////////////////////						
3 4 5 6 7	Reductions or Surcharges Occupancy hazard reduction or surcharge Residential - with no garage Residential - with garage General Commercial - Generally, no reduction Check usage with FUS Sprinkler Reduction Only Use if can be confirmed with client/architect Only Use if can be confirmed with client/architect Sprinkler Surcharge (cumulative %) For Fire walls: FUS considers a Fire wall to have a main of the second s	ninimum 2 hou	r rating per NBC.	/alue at 10,000L/min						

APPENDIX B Sanitary Servicing Information

PROJECT #: 120226 PROJECT NAME: SOUTHBRIDGE NURSING HOME LOCATION: 20 HOPE STREET, PORT HOPE



Sanitary Design Sheet

	LOCATION	1		DOM	ESTIC		INFILTRATION			PIPE					
				то	TAL		Total Area	Infilt, Flow	Total				Capacity	Full Flow	Q/Q _{full}
AREA	FROM	то	Pop.	Accum. Pop.	Peak Factor	Peak Flow (l/s)	(ha)	(l/s)	110W (1/5)	Size (mm)	Slope (%)	Length (m)	(l/s)	Vel. (m/s)	(%)
1	BUILDING	SANMH 1	192	192	3.3	3.32	1.1	0.25	3.57	200	1.00	25.0	32.8	1.04	10.9%

Design Parameters:

from Ontario Building code Table 8.2.1.3B:

- Average Domestic Flow (Rest/Nursing Homes)

- Extraneous Flows

Residential Peaking Factor

450 L/person/day 0.23 l/s/ha Harmon Equation

APPENDIX C Stormwater Management Calculations

RATIONAL METHOD

The Rational Method was used to determine both the allowable runoff as well as the post-development runoff for the proposed site. The equation is as follows:

Q=2.78 CIA

Where: Q is the runoff in L/s C is the weighted runoff coefficient* I is the rainfall intensity in mm/hr** A is the area in hectares

*The weighted runoff coefficient is determined for each of the catchment areas as follows:

 $C = (A_p \times C_p) + (A_{imp} \times C_{imp})$ Atot

Where: A_p is the pervious area in hectares C_p is the pervious area runoff coefficient ($C_{perv}=0.20$) A_{imp} is the impervious area in hectares C_{imp} is the impervious area runoff coefficient ($C_{imp}=0.90$) A_{tot} is the catchment area ($A_{perv} + A_{imp}$) in hectares

** The rainfall intensity is taken from the Port Hope Stormwater Management Guidelines and can be calculated as follows:

5 Year Design Storm I=2464 / (t + 16)

100 Year Design Storm I=5588 / (t + 28)

Note: The post-development C values are to be increased by 25% for the 1:100 year event (max. C_{imp}=1.0).

Project: Southbridge Nursing Home Location: 20 Hope Street, Port Hope

DATE: November 2021



Storm Sewer Design Sheet

LOCA	ATION		AREA (Ha)				FLOW	1					PROPOSE	D SEWER			
FROM	то	TOTAL AREA	R= 0.2	R= 0.9	INDIV 2.78 AR	ACCUM 2.78 AR	TIME OF CONC.	RAINFALL INTENSITY I	PEAK FLOW Q (I/s)	PIPE SIZE (mm)	PIPE SLOPE (%)	LENGTH (m)	CAPACITY (I/s)	FULL FLOW VELOCITY (m/s)	TIME OF FLOW (min.)	EXCESS CAPACITY (I/s)	Q/Qfull
CBMH 4	OGS UNIT	0.230	0.150	0.080	0.28	0.28	10.00	94.77	26.90	381.0	1.00	96.0	183.10	1.60	1.00	156.20	0.15
CBMH 12	STMMH 5	0.650	0.300	0.350	1.04	1.04	10.00	94.77	98.80	381.0	0.40	23.0	115.80	1.01	0.38	17.00	0.85
BUILDING	STMMH 5	0.190	0.000	0.190	0.48	0.48	10.00	94.77	9.00	254.0	1.00	5.0	62.10	1.22	0.07	53.10	0.14
STMMH 5	OGS UNIT	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.00	1.52	10.38	93.41	107.80	381.0	1.00	8.5	183.10	1.60	0.09	75.30	0.59
	0714110	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.00	1.00	40.47	00.40	404 70	001.0	0.05	47.0	100.01	4.40	0.40	01.11	0.00
OGS UNIT	STMMH 6	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.00	1.80	10.47	93.10	134.70	381.0	0.85	17.0	168.81	1.48	0.19	34.11	0.80

Definitions

Notes:

Port Hope Rainfall-Intensity Curve
 Min Velocity = 0.76 m/sec.
 Highlighted peak flows are controlled flows

Q = 2.78 AIR Q = Peak Flow, in Litres per second (L/s) A = Area in hectares (ha) I = Rainfall Intensity (mm/h) R = Runoff Coefficient



TABLE 1A: Allowable Runoff Coefficient "C"

Area	"C"
Total	0.54
1.080	0.04

TABLE 1B: Allowable Flows

Outlet Options	Area (ha)	"C"	Tc (min)	Q _{5 Year} (L/s)
Princess Street	1.080	0.54	10.0	153.6

Time of Concentration	Tc=	10.0	min
Intensity (5 Year Event)	I ₅ =	94.77	mm/hr
Intensity (100 Year Event)	I ₁₀₀ =	147.05	mm/hr

100 year Intensity = 5588 / (Time in min + 28) 5 year Intensity = 2464 / (Time in min + 16) Equations:

Q = 2.78 x C x I x A Where: C is the runoff coefficient I is the rainfall intensity, Port Hope IDF A is the total drainage area



TABLE 2A: Post-Development Runoff Coefficient "C" - A1 Controlled Roof Area

		5 Year Event		100 Year Event		
Area	Surface	Ha	"C"	C _{avg}	"C" + 25%	*C _{avg}
Total	Hard	0.000	0.90		1.00	
0.100	Roof	0.190	0.90	0.90	1.00	1.00
0.190	Soft	0.000	0.20		0.25	

TABLE 2B: 5 YEAR EVENT QUANTITY STORAGE REQUIREMENT - A1 Controlled Roof Area

=Area (ha) = C

0.19

0.90	= C					
Return Period	Time (min)	Intensity (mm/hr)	Flow Q (L/s)	Allowable Runoff (L/s)	Net Flow to be Stored (L/s)	Storage Req'd (m ³)
	10	94.77	45.05	9.0	36.05	21.63
	15	79.48	37.79	9.0	28.79	25.91
5 YEAR	20	68.44	32.54	9.0	23.54	28.24
	25	60.10	28.57	9.0	19.57	29.35
	30	53.57	25.46	9.0	16.46	29.63

TABLE 2C: 100 YEAR EVENT QUANTITY STORAGE REQUIREMENT - A1 Controlled Roof Area 0.19 =Area (ha)

1.00 = C

Return Period	Time (min)	Intensity (mm/hr)	Flow Q (L/s)	Allowable Runoff (L/s)	Net Flow to be Stored (L/s)	Storage Req'd (m ³)
	10	147.05	77.67	14.6	63.03	37.82
	15	129.95	68.64	14.6	54.00	48.60
100 YEAR	20	116.42	61.49	14.6	46.85	56.22
	25	105.43	55.69	14.6	41.05	61.58
	30	96.34	50.89	14.6	36.25	65.25

Equations:

Flow Equation Q = 2.78 x C x I x A

Where:

C is the runoff coefficient

I is the rainfall intensity, Port Hope IDF

A is the total drainage area

Table 2D: Roof Drain Flows

Roof Drains									
Roof Area	1900	m²							
Qty	12								
Туре	Accutrol RD-	-100-A-ADJ							
Setting	3/4 Open								
Design Head	0.05-0.15	m							
Design Flow 1" of head	0.32	L/s (ea)							
Design Flow 2" of head	0.63	L/s (ea)							
Design Flow 3" of head	0.87	L/s (ea)							
Design Flow 4" of head	1.10	L/s (ea)							
Design Flow 5" of head	1.34	L/s (ea)							
Design Flow 6" of head	1.58	L/s (ea)							

Table 2E: Total Roof Storage

				*Total	Total Roof	Total
	Roof Drain	**Avg Area Per Roof	Avg Ponding Depth Per	Volume Per	Storage	Volume (m ³)
Storm Event	ID	Drain (m²)	Roof Drain (m)	Drain (m ³)	Volume (m ³)	Required
5 Year	RD 1-25	158.3	0.0635	3.35	40.22	28.24
Max Storage	RD 1-25	158.3	0.1270	6.70	80.43	56.22

*Note: Ponding volumes calculated using cone equation:

**Note: Roof Drain Area accounts for 10% loss for roof furniture

Runoff Coefficient Equation $C_5 = (A_{hard} \times 0.9 + A_{soft} \times 0.2)/A_{Tot}$

 $C_{100} = (A_{hard} \times 1.0 + A_{soft} \times 0.25)/A_{Tot}$



TABLE 3A: Post-Development Runoff Coefficient "C" - A2-A5

		5 Year Event		100 Year Event		
Area	0.4	Ha	"C"	C _{avg}	"C" + 25%	*C _{avg}
Total	Hard	0.080	0.90		1.00	
0.220	Roof	0.000	0.90	0.44	1.00	0.51
0.230	Soft	0.150	0.20		0.25	

TABLE 3B: 5 YEAR EVENT QUANTITY STORAGE REQUIREMENT - A2-A5

0.230	=Area (ha) = C					
Datas	 	Index of the	Flow	Allersehle	Net Flow	Storage
Period	(min)	(mm/hr)	C (L/s)	Allowable Runoff (L/s)	to be Stored (L/s)	Reg'd (m ³)
	10	94.77	26.87	26.9	0.00	0.00
	15	79.48	22.54	26.9	-4.33	-3.90
5 YEAR	20	68.44	19.41	26.9	-7.46	-8.95
	25	60.10	17.04	26.9	-9.83	-14.74
	30	53.57	15.19	26.9	-11.68	-21.03

TABLE 3C: 100 YEAR EVENT QUANTITY STORAGE REQUIREMENT - A2-A5

0.23 =Area (ha)

0.511 = C

					Net Flow	
Return	Time	Intensity	Flow	Allowable	to be	Storage
Period	(min)	(mm/hr)	Q (L/s)	Runoff (L/s)	Stored (L/s)	Req'd (m ³)
	10	147.05	48.03	29.0	19.03	11.42
	15	129.95	42.45	29.0	13.45	12.10
100 YEAR	20	116.42	38.03	29.0	9.03	10.83
	25	105.43	34.44	29.0	5.44	8.16
	30	96.34	31.47	29.0	2.47	4.45

Equations:

Flow Equation

Q = 2.78 x C x I x A

Where:

C is the runoff coefficient

I is the rainfall intensity, Port Hope IDF

A is the total drainage area

Runoff Coefficient Equation

$$\begin{split} C_{s} &= (A_{hard} \ x \ 0.9 + A_{soft} \ x \ 0.2) / A_{Tot} \\ C_{100} &= (A_{hard} \ x \ 1.0 + A_{soft} \ x \ 0.25) / A_{Tot} \end{split}$$



TABLE 3D: Structure information

Structures	Size Dia.(mm)	Area (m²)	T/G	Inv IN	Inv OUT
CBMH 4	1200	1.13	95.75	98.11	98.06
CBMH 3	1200	1.13	99.70	98.24	98.19
CBMH 2	1200	1.13	100.50	98.80	98.75
CB 1	600	0.37	100.50	N/A	99.05

TABLE 3E: Pipe information

Structures	Size Dia.(mm)	Length	Inv UP	Inv DOWN
CB1 - CBMH4	300	85.00	99.05	98.11

TABLE 3F: Storage Provided - A2-A5

Area A								
	System	CBMH 4	CBMH 3	CBMH 2	CB 1	Pipe	Underground	Total
Elevation	Depth	Volume	Volume	Volume	Volume	Volume	Volume	Volume
(m)	(m)	(m ³)	(m3)	(m3)	(m ³)	(m ³)	(m ³)*	(m ³)
98.060	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
98.310	0.25	0.28	0.14	0.00	0.00	3.00	0.42	3.42
98.560	0.50	0.57	0.42	0.00	0.00	6.01	0.57	6.57
98.810	0.75	0.85	0.70	0.07	0.00		0.63	7.21
99.060	1.00	1.13	0.98	0.35	0.00		0.85	8.06
99.310	1.25	1.41	1.27	0.63	0.10		0.94	9.00
99.560	1.50	1.70	1.55	0.92	0.19		0.94	9.94
99.810	1.75	1.98	1.83	1.20	0.28		0.94	10.88
100.100	2.04	2.31	2.16	1.53	0.39		1.09	11.97
100.150	2.09	2.36	2.22	1.58	0.41		0.19	12.16

TABLE 3G: Orfice Sizing information - A2-A5

Control Device Tempest MHF		В			
Design Event	Flow (L/S)	Head (m)	Elev (m)	Outlet dia. (mm)	Volume (m³)
1:5 Year	26.9	1.89	100.10	300.00	0.00
1:100 Year	29.0	1.94	100.15	300.00	12.10

**The design Head is calculated based on the centre of the pipe





TABLE 4A: Post-Development Runoff Coefficient "C" - A6-A11

				Event	100 Year Event		
Area	0.4	Ha	"C"	C_{avg}	"C" + 25%	*C _{avg}	
Total	Hard	0.350	0.90		1.00		
0.650	Roof	0.000	0.90	0.58	1.00	0.65	
0.000	Soft	0.300	0.20		0.25		

TABLE 4B: 5 YEAR EVENT QUANTITY STORAGE REQUIREMENT - A6-11

0.65 0.58	=Area (ha) = C					
Return	Time	Intensity	Flow	Allowable	Net Flow to be	Storage
Period	(min)	(mm/hr)	Q (L/s)	Runoff (L/s)	Stored (L/s)	Req'd (m°)
	10	94.77	98.80	98.8	0.00	0.00
	15	79.48	82.86	98.8	-15.94	-14.34
5 YEAR	20	68.44	71.35	98.8	-27.45	-32.94
	25	60.10	62.65	98.8	-36.15	-54.22
	30	53.57	55.84	98.8	-42.96	-77.32

TABLE 4C: 100 YEAR EVENT QUANTITY STORAGE REQUIREMENT - A6-11

0.65 =Area (ha)

0.65 = C

					Net Flow	
Return	Time	Intensity	Flow	Allowable	to be	Storage
Period	(min)	(mm/hr)	Q (L/s)	Runoff (L/s)	Stored (L/s)	Req'd (m ³)
	5	169.33	200.07	102.1	97.97	29.39
	10	147.05	173.74	102.1	71.64	42.99
100 YEAR	15	129.95	153.54	102.1	51.44	46.30
	20	116.42	137.55	102.1	35.45	42.54
	25	105.43	124.57	102.1	22.47	33.71

Equations:

Flow Equation

Q = 2.78 x C x I x A

Where:

C is the runoff coefficient

I is the rainfall intensity, Port Hope IDF

A is the total drainage area

Runoff Coefficient Equation

$$\begin{split} C_{s} &= (A_{hard} \ x \ 0.9 + A_{soft} \ x \ 0.2) / A_{Tot} \\ C_{100} &= (A_{hard} \ x \ 1.0 + A_{soft} \ x \ 0.25) / A_{Tot} \end{split}$$



TABLE 4D: Structure information

Structures	Size Dia.(mm)	Area (m ²)	T/G	Inv IN	Inv OUT
CBMH 12	1200	1.13	99.70	98.10	98.09
CBMH 11	1200	1.13	99.70	98.33	98.22
STMMH 10	1200	1.13	99.70	98.54	98.49
CBMH 8	1200	1.13	99.70	98.61	98.56
CBMH 7	1200	1.13	99.70	98.71	98.66
CB 9	600	0.36	99.70	N/A	98.78
CB 6	600	0.36	99.70	N/A	98.93

TABLE 4E: Pipe information

Structures	Size Dia.(mm)	Length	Inv UP	Inv DOWN
CB1 - CB2	250	18.30	98.93	98.10

TABLE 4F: Storage Provided - A6-A11

Area A	-4: Storage T	able										
	System	CBMH 12	CBMH 11	STMMH 10	CBMH 8	CBMH 7	CB 9	CB 6	Pipe	Underground	Surface	Total
Elevation	Depth	Volume	Volume	Volume	Volume	Volume	Volume	Volume	Volume	Volume	Ponding	Volume
(m)	(m)	(m ³)	(m3)	(m3)	(m3)	(m3)	(m3)	(m ³)	(m ³)	(m ³)*	Volume (m ³)	(m³)
98.090	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
98.490	0.40	0.45	0.31	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	8.66	9.42	0.00	9.42
98.890	0.80	0.90	0.76	0.45	0.37	0.26	0.04	0.00	17.32	20.11	0.00	20.11
99.290	1.20	1.36	1.21	0.90	0.83	0.71	0.18	0.13		22.64	0.00	22.64
99.690	1.60	1.81	1.66	1.36	1.28	1.16	0.33	0.27		25.19	0.00	25.19
99.800	1.71	1.93	1.79	1.48	1.40	1.29	0.37	0.31		25.89	0.59	26.48
99.850	1.76	1.99	1.84	1.54	1.46	1.35	0.39	0.33		26.21	2.02	28.23
99.900	1.81	2.05	1.90	1.59	1.52	1.40	0.40	0.35		26.53	4.92	31.45
99.950	1.86	2.10	1.96	1.65	1.57	1.46	0.42	0.37		26.85	7.75	34.60
100.050	1.96	2.22	2.07	1.76	1.69	1.57	0.46	0.40		27.49	18.82	46.31

TABLE 4G: Orfice Sizing information - A6-A11

Tempest HF		Е			
Design Event	Flow (L/S)	Head (m)	Elev (m)	Outlet dia. (mm)	Volume (m ³)
1:5 Year	98.8	1.49	99.70	250.00	0.00
1:100 Year	102.1	1.79	100.00	250.00	46.30

**The design Head is calculated based on the centre of the pipe





TABLE 5A: Post-Development Runoff Coefficient "C" - A12

				⁻ Event	100 Year Event			
Area	0.4	Ha	"C"	C _{avg}	"C" + 25%	*C _{avg}		
Total	Hard	0.000	0.90		1.00			
0.010	Roof	0.000	0.90	0.20	1.00	0.25		
0.010	Soft	0.010	0.20		0.25			

TABLE 6B: 5 YEAR EVENT QUANTITY STORAGE REQUIREMENT - A-12

0.010 =Area (ha) = C 0.20 Net Flow Storage Return Time Intensity Flow Allowable to be Req'd (m³) Period (min) (mm/hr) Q (L/s) Runoff (L/s) Stored (L/s) 94.77 10 0.53 0.53 0.00 0.00 15 151.63 0.31 0.84 0.53 0.28 5 YEAR 20 150.86 0.84 0.53 0.31 0.37 25 150.09 0.83 0.53 0.30 0.46 30 0.83 0.30 0.54 149.33 0.53

TABLE 6C: 100 YEAR EVENT QUANTITY STORAGE REQUIREMENT - A-12

0.01 =Area (ha)

0.25	= C

Detum	Time	Interación	Flow	Allewskie	Net Flow	Storage
Return	Time	intensity	FIOW	Allowable	lo be	Otorage
Period	(min)	(mm/hr)	Q (L/s)	Runoff (L/s)	Stored (L/s)	Req'd (m°)
	10	147.05	1.02	1.02	0.00	0.00
	15	129.95	0.90	1.02	-0.12	-0.11
100 YEAR	20	116.42	0.81	1.02	-0.21	-0.26
	25	105.43	0.73	1.02	-0.29	-0.43
	30	96.34	0.67	1.02	-0.35	-0.63

Equations:

Flow Equation

Q = 2.78 x C x I x A

Where:

C is the runoff coefficient

I is the rainfall intensity, City of Ottawa IDF

A is the total drainage area

Runoff Coefficient Equation

 $\begin{aligned} &C_{s} = (A_{hard} \ge 0.9 + A_{soft} \ge 0.2) / A_{Tot} \\ &C_{100} = (A_{hard} \ge 1.0 + A_{soft} \ge 0.25) / A_{Tot} \end{aligned}$



Table 8: Post-Development Stormwater Mangement Summary

					5 Year Storm Event				100 Year Storm Event			
Area ID	Area (ha)	1:5 Year Weighted Cw	Oulet Location	Orifice	Release (L/s)	Head (m)	Req'd Vol (cu.m)	Max. Vol. Provided (cu.m.)	Release (L/s)	Head	Req'd Vol (cu.m)	Max. Vol. Provided (cu.m.)
A1	0.190	0.90	STMMH 5	RD-100-A-ADJ	9.0	0.06	28.24	40.22	14.6	0.13	56.22	80.43
A2-A5	0.230	0.44	CBMH 4	TEMPEST MHF B	26.9	1.89	0.00	12.16	29.0	1.94	12.10	12.16
A6-A11	0.650	0.58	CBMH 12	TEMPEST HF E	98.8	1.49	0.00	46.31	102.1	1.79	46.30	46.31
A12	0.010	0.20	WARD ST	N/A	0.5	N/A	N/A	N/A	1.0	N/A	N/A	N/A
T	otal				135.2				146.8			
Allo	wable				153.6				153.6			







CDS ESTIMATED NET ANNUAL SOLIDS LOAD REDUCTION **BASED ON THE RATIONAL RAINFALL METHOD BASED ON A FINE PARTICLE SIZE DISTRIBUTION**



Project Name:	20 Hope Stree	et, Long Term Ca	re Home	Engineer: NOVATECH				
Location:	Port Hope			Contact:	Paul Newcom	be, EIT		
OGS #:	OGS			Report Date:	11-Nov-21			
Area	1.1	ha		Rainfall Statio	n #	211		
Weighted C	0.60			Particle Size	Distribution	FINE		
CDS Model	2020			CDS Treatmen	nt Capacity	31	l/s	
					. ,			
Rainfall	Percent	Cumulative	Total	Tractor	0	Removal		
Intensity ¹	Rainfall	Rainfall	Flowrate	I reated	Operating	Efficiency	Incremental	
(mm/hr)	Volume ¹	Volume	(I/s)	Flowrate (I/s)	<u>Rate (%)</u>	(%)	Removal (%)	
0.5	9.5%	9.5%	0.9	0.9	2.9	98.0	9.3	
1.0	10.4%	19.9%	1.8	1.8	5.9	97.2	10.1	
1.5	8.9%	28.8%	2.8	2.8	8.8	96.3	8.6	
2.0	8.1%	36.9%	3.7	3.7	11.8	95.5	7.8	
2.5	7.3%	44.2%	4.6	4.6	14.7	94.6	6.9	
3.0	5.6%	49.9%	5.5	5.5	17.7	93.8	5.3	
3.5	5.1%	55.0%	6.4	6.4	20.6	92.9	4.7	
4.0	4.1%	59.0%	7.3	7.3	23.6	92.1	3.8	
4.5	3.2%	62.2%	8.3	8.3	26.5	91.3	2.9	
5.0	3.3%	65.5%	9.2	9.2	29.4	90.4	3.0	
6.0	6.4%	71.9%	11.0	11.0	35.3	88.7	5.7	
7.0	4.7%	76.6%	12.8	12.8	41.2	87.0	4.1	
8.0	4.1%	80.7%	14.7	14.7	47.1	85.4	3.5	
9.0	2.8%	83.5%	16.5	16.5	53.0	83.7	2.3	
10.0	2.0%	85.5%	18.3	18.3	58.9	82.0	1.6	
15.0	7.3%	92.8%	27.5	27.5	88.3	73.5	5.4	
20.0	3.7%	96.5%	36.7	31.2	100.0	59.6	2.2	
25.0	2.5%	99.1%	45.9	31.2	100.0	47.7	1.2	
30.0	0.2%	99.3%	55.0	31.2	100.0	39.7	0.1	
35.0	0.5%	99.7%	64.2	31.2	100.0	34.1	0.2	
40.0	0.3%	100.0%	73.4	31.2	100.0	29.8	0.1	
45.0	0.0%	100.0%	82.6	31.2	100.0	26.5	0.0	
50.0	0.0%	100.0%	91.7	31.2	100.0	23.8	0.0	
							88.7	
				Rem	oval Efficiency	Adjustment ² =	6.5%	
			Predic	ted Net Annua	Load Remov	al Efficiency =	82.2%	
				Predicted	% Annual Raiı	nfall Treated =	98.2%	
1 - Based on 32	years of hourly	rainfall data from	n Canadian S	tation 6166418.	Peterborouah	ON		
2 - Reduction du	ue to use of 60-i	minute data for a	site that has	a time of conce	ntration less th	an 30-minutes.		

3 - CDS Efficiency based on testing conducted at the University of Central Florida
 4 - CDS design flowrate and scaling based on standard manufacturer model & product specifications





APPENDIX D CIMA Water Modelling Report

Novatech Engineers, Planners & Landscape Architects

Water Distribution System Hydraulic Modelling for Development at 20 Hope Street South

CIMA Project No. C14-0452 July 5, 2021

CIMA CANADA INC. 415 Baseline Road West, 2nd Floor Bowmanville, ON L1C 5M2 T 905 697-4464 cima.ca



Novatech Engineers, Planners & Landscape Architects

Water Distribution System Hydraulic Modelling for Development at 20 Hope Street South

July 5, 2021

SUBMITTED BY CIMA CANADA INC. 415 Baseline Road West, 2nd Floor Bowmanville, ON L1C 5M2 T 905 697 4464 F 905 697 0443 cima.ca CONTACT Dan Campbell dan.campbell@cima.ca T 905-697-4464 ext. 6906

Novatech Engineers, Planners & Landscape Architects

Water Distribution System Hydraulic Modelling for Development at 20 Hope Street

Project No. C14-0452

PREPARED BY:

Dan Campbell

VERIFIED BY:

Milnas

William McCrae, P.Eng.

CIMA+ 415 Baseline Road West, 2nd Floor Bowmanville, Ontario L1C 5M2

July 5, 2021

Table of Contents

1.	Introduction	1
	1.1 Background	1
2.	Existing Port Hope Water Distribution System	1
	2.1 Pressure Zone 1	3
	2.2 Pressure Zone 2	3
3.	Site Context	5
	3.1 Existing Distribution System	5
	3.2 Site Water Demand	7
4.	Hydraulic Model Setup	7
	4.1 Scenario Setup	7
5.	Scenario Results	9
	5.1 Distribution System Pressures	9
	5.1.1 Zone 1 System Pressures	9
	5.1.2 Local Distribution System Pressures	10
	5.2 Maximum Day Demand plus Fire Flow	11
	5.2.1 Available Fire Flows	11
6.	Summary & Recommendations	12
7.	References	12

List of Figures

Figure 1: Existing Port Hope Water Distribution System and Site Location	. 2
Figure 2: Zone 2 Pump Start Set Points	5
Figure 3: Existing Water Distribution System	6
Figure 4: MOE Operating Pressure Recommendations	9

List of Tables

Table 1: High Lift Pump Capacities	3
Table 1. High Lift Fump Capacities	
Table 2: Victoria Street BPS Pump Capacities	
Table 3: Jocelyn Street Reservoir PS Pump Capacities	
Table 4: Site Specific Water Demands	7
Table 5: Pump Settings for Modelling Scenarios	
Table 6: Floating Storage Settings for Modelling Scenarios	
Table 7: Zone 1 System Pressures	
Table 8: Local System Pressures	
Table 9: MDD+FF Base Demand	
Table 10: MDD+FF Base Demand	

List of Appendices

Appendix A – Hydraulic Model Setup Parameters

1. Introduction

1.1 Background

CIMA Canada Inc. (CIMA) was retained by Novatech Engineers, Planners & Landscape Architects (Novatech) to complete hydraulic modelling of the Port Hope water distribution system to assess a proposed development at 20 Hope Street South. Novatech have been retained to design elements of the site servicing for the expansion of an existing building located on the northern portion of 20 Hope Street South. The existing building to be re-developed is proposed to be a retirement residence with a footprint of \pm 1,900 m² and will ultimately include seven (7) stories. It is our understanding that the intent of the proposed building design (renovation and expansion of the existing building) is to build to a relatively high standard from a fire protection perspective. The construction material is to be a modified fire resistive material, interior building openings are to be protected and a sprinkler system is to be installed.

The purpose of this hydraulic modelling report is to confirm:

- That the existing watermains servicing the site are capable of meeting current and projected domestic demands under various scenarios without adverse impact on the distribution system.
- That the existing water distribution system servicing the site is capable of supplying sufficient fire flow.

Correspondence received from Novatech indicates a required fire flow of 5,000 L/min for the proposed building. CIMA+ has not reviewed the accuracy of these calculations or the compliance of the proposed building design with the assumptions of the calculations.

Figure 1 indicates the location of the proposed development in the context of the Municipality of Port Hope (MPH) water distribution system.

2. Existing Port Hope Water Distribution System

The Port Hope Drinking Water System is classified as a Large Municipal Residential drinking water system that serves the urban community of Port Hope with a current estimated population of 12,500. The local topography of the Port Hope urban (serviced) area includes a sizable elevation differential with ground elevations ranging between \pm 75 m and \pm 150 m, as a result the water distribution system is divided into two (2) pressure zones (Pressure Zone 1 and Pressure Zone 2). **Figure 1** highlights the divisions between the pressure zones and the location of other key facilities within the Port Hope water distribution system.



Raw water is obtained from Lake Ontario and treated at the Port Hope Water Treatment Plant (WTP). The High Lift Pumping System at the WTP is equipped with five (5) High Lift Pumps (HLPs) that deliver treated water to the distribution system.

Pressure Zone 1 2.1

Pressure Zone 1 (Zone 1) encompasses the lower elevation areas located within the Ganaraska River valley as well as the lands east of the river. Elevations within pressure Zone 1 generally range between \pm 75m along the shoreline of Lake Ontario to \pm 120m along the boundary between the pressure zones and at high points in the north east portion of the urban area.

In addition to servicing a sizable residential population, Zone 1 includes Port Hope's historic downtown core area as well as the majority of the industrial and commercial development within the Port Hope urban area.

Water is supplied directly to Zone 1 by the HLPs at the WTP, which draw water from a two-cell 5,000 m³ in-ground treated water reservoir. The individual capacities of the HLPs at the WTP are summarized in Table 1.

Table 1. Figh Lift Pullip Capacities							
Horsepower	Discharge (L/s)	Head (m)					
100 (VFD)	63.66	79.9					
200 (VFD)	115.80	79.9					
250 (VFD)	173.60	79.9					
200 (VFD)	115.80	79.9					
250 (VFD)	173.60	79.9					
	Horsepower 100 (VFD) 200 (VFD) 250 (VFD) 200 (VFD) 250 (VFD) 250 (VFD)	Horsepower Discharge (L/s) 100 (VFD) 63.66 200 (VFD) 115.80 250 (VFD) 173.60 200 (VFD) 115.80 250 (VFD) 173.60 250 (VFD) 173.60					

Table 1: High Lift Pump Ca	pacities
----------------------------	----------

Under normal operating conditions the hydraulic grade line in Zone 1 is governed by the water level in the Dorset Street Sandpipe, which has an Overflow Water Level (OWL) of ±156.75 m. The Dorset Street Standpipe is a 22.86 m (75 ft) tall cylindrical tank with a diameter of 7.77 m (25.5 ft) providing a total storage volume of 1,083 m³. The Dorset Street Standpipe normally operates between a lower level set point of 18.00 m (elevation ± 151.89 m) when the HLPs are operated at a discharge pressure control set point of 780 kPa to fill the tank and an upper level set point of 21.90 m (elevation ± 155.79 m) when the HLPs are operated at a discharge pressure control set point of 710 kPa.

2.2 Pressure Zone 2

Pressure Zone 2 (Zone 2) encompasses the higher elevation areas west of the Ganaraska River valley. Elevations within the Zone 2 service area range between ± 95 m and ±150 m. Historically, most development in Zone 2 has been located above an elevation of ± 120 m. Newer developments in the southwest corner of Zone 2 are located below an elevation of \pm 120 m and are equipped with localized pressure reducing valves (PRVs) either on individual water services or on local watermains supplying individual developments. Phases 3 & 4 of the Mason Homes development have individual PRVs while Phase 2 of the Mason Homes development is located in a separate pressure zone that is created by PRVs on Maple Boulevard and Lakeshore Road. Phase 1 of this development (Monarch) is serviced privately through a pressure reducing, backflow prevention and metering facilitity.

The HLPs at the WTP deliver water to the Victoria Street Booster Pumping Station (BPS) via a 500 mm transmission main. The Victoria Street BPS is equipped with three pumps, the individual capacities of which are summarized in Table 2.

Table 2. Flotonia officer bi o Fullip Capacifies								
Pump	Horsepower	Discharge (L/s)	Head (m)					
P2501	50 (VFD)	57.39	40.54					
P2503	25	37.88	36.58					
P2504	20	24.98	28.96					

Table 2: V	Victoria	Street	BPS	Pump	o Ca	pacities
------------	----------	--------	-----	------	------	----------

The Victoria Street BPS supplies water directly to the Zone 2 distribution system as well as directly to the Jocelyn Street Reservoir via a 300 mm feedermain on Victoria Street North. Constructed in 1977 the Jocelyn Street Reservoir consists of a single celled in-ground reservoir with a storage capacity of 2,270 m³ and a pumping station that delivers water to the Zone 2 service area. Water levels in the Jocelyn Street Reservoir range between ±140.8 m and ±145.7 m. The Jocelyn Street Reservoir and Pumping Station (PS) is equipped with 3 pumps, the individual capacities of which are summarized in Table 3.

Table 3: Jocelyn Street Reservoir PS Pump Capacities								
Pump	Horsepower	Discharge (L/s)	Head (m)					
P2001	15	17.35	48.77					
P2002	15	17.35	48.77					
P2003	100 (fire pump)	157.73	37.19					

The operation of pumps at the Victoria Street BPS and the Jocelyn Street PS are controlled by the water level in the Fox Road Elevated Tank (ET) located at the north end of Fox Road (See Figure 1). The Fox Road ET, with a total capacity of 3,000 m³, is a composite structure with a welded steel tank supported on a concrete pedestal. The current operating strategy for the Fox Road ET involves using an interim TWL of ±185.0 m, which is considered sufficient to service lands within the current urban boundary including the recent 2019 expansion of the system to service to the hamlet of Welcome located north of Highway 401. Under ultimate full build-out conditions, the operating strategy for the Fox Road ET can be modified, with the water level increased to the ultimate TWL of ±190.0 m to service additional lands north of Highway 401 – including those with higher elevations along Cranberry Road. Increasing the TWL in the Fox Road ET will require upgrades to the pumps at the Victoria Street BPS as well as adjustments to the existing pressure zone boundary (division) between Pressure Zones 1 & 2.

Figure 2 below summarizes the start/stop set points for the pumps at the Victoria Street BPS and Jocelyn Street Reservoir and PS under the current Fox Road ET operating strategy.



Figure 2: Zone 2 Pump Start Set Points

It is noted that the pump currently in service as Pump 1 (designated as P2501 in Table 2) at the Victoria Street BPS replaced two pumps previously designated as P1 and P2. The pumps that remain in service at the Victoria Street BPS are designated P1, P2 and P3 or alternately P2501, P2503 and P2504.

3. Site Context

The proposed development is located within Pressure Zone 1 in the central portion of the Port Hope urban area, and is situated at an elevation of ± 101 m. Generally, the infrastructure in the area has been planned to support residential and institutional related development.

3.1 Existing Distribution System

The water distribution system in the general vicinity of the site consists of a well connected network of 150 mm dia. and 200 mm dia. watermains. The following water distribution system exists in the direct vicinity of the site:

- Existing Hope Street Watermain: a 200 mm dia. watermain runs along Hope Street and acts as a sub-trunk watermain. It extends ±2,000 m between the 500 mm dia. trunk watermain to the south within the CP railway corridor and the 200 mm dia. watermain on Molson Street to the north. There are numerous interconnections with the rest of the Zone 1 distribution system over this length.
- Existing Princess Street Watermain: a 150 mm dia. watermains runs ±550 m along the entire length of Princess Street between Dorset Street to the south and Ward Street to the north.



• Existing Ward Street Watermain: a 150 mm dia. watermain runs along Ward Street along the northern boundary of the 20 Hope Street South property. It intersects both the 150 mm dia. watermain on Princess Street and the 200 mm dia. watermain on Hope Street.

Figure 3 illustrates the general layout of the water distribution system in the central area of Port Hope and provides an overview of the site location of 20 Hope Street South.



Figure 3: Existing Water Distribution System

Proposed Site Infrastructure and Water Demand 3.2

As described in Section 1.1 the proposed development at 20 Hope Street South is understood to be a retirement residence. At this time detailed drawings have not been provided, but it is our understanding that the site will be serviced from a connection to the existing Princess Street watermain.

The expected water demands assocaited with the proposed development have been calculated and provided by Novatech for average day demand, maximum day demand and peak hour demand conditions. Minimum hour demands are based on a factor of 0.60 which is applied to average day demand. These demands are summarized in Table 4.

Table 4: Site Specific Water Demands							
Demand Scenario	Calculated Demand (L/s)						
Minimum Hour Demand (MHD)	0.6						
Average Day Demand (ADD)	1.0						
Maximum Day Demand (MDD)	2.75						
Peak Hour Demand (PHD)	4.13						

Novatech also supplied a calaculated sprinkler demand of 32.93 L/s (522 gpm). The sprinkler flow is further discussed in Section 5.2.

For clarity it is noted that all modelling scenarios also include existing system demands.

Hydraulic Model Setup 4.

The Port Hope water distribution system hydraulic model has been developed using the InfoWater software package developed by Innovyze. The model integrates a variety of information with the intention of being used for planning level analysis. Appendix A provides a description of the overall model setup with respect to information sources, water demand, demand factors and other general modelling parameters.

4.1 **Scenario Setup**

The MPH hydraulic model is configured with five (5) base scenarios that are analyzed under steady state conditions. For each scenario the demand, pump operation and tank level assumptions are set to reflect a range of conditions that are typically considered in the design of water distribution systems and actual operation of the MPH system.

- Minimum Hour Demand (MHD); •
- Average Day Demand (ADD); •
- Maximum Day Demand (MDD); ٠
- Peak Hour Demand (PHD); and •
- Maximum Day Demand (MDD) + Fire Flow (FF).

Pump settings for ADD, MDD, PHD and MHD scenarios are based on input from Port Hope staff and the established pump sequencing for pumping facilities in Zone 1 and Zone 2. Pump settings for the MDD+FF scenario are based on guidelines prepared by the Fire Underwriters Survey (FUS).

FUS guidelines generally indicate the pumping capacity, in conjunction with storage, should be sufficient to sustain maximum day demand plus required fire flow when the two most important pumps are out of service. This approach is consistent with MOE guidelines for determining firm rated pumping station capacities for systems with no floating storage. However, FUS guidelines also state:

For smaller municipalities (usually up to about 25,000 population) the relative infrequency of fires is assumed as largely offsetting the probability of a serious fire occurring when two pumps are out of service.

Considering these guidelines and the presence of floating storage in both pressure zones, the MDD+FF scenario includes the assumption that that the one most important (largest) pump in each pressure zone is out of service (off-line). As outlined below and in **Table 5**, the pumps assumed to be out of service (off-line) are:

- HLP5 at the WTP, which is one of the two largest (identical) high lift pumps responsible for supplying water to both Zone 1 and Zone 2 under high demand conditions; and
- Pump 1 (P2501) at the Victoria Street BPS, which is the most important pump for supplying water into Zone 2, as it is the largest pump at the Victoria BPS with a capacity of 1000 gallons per minute (3,785 L/min).

Table 6 details the assumptions regarding the water level in floating storage facilities in both pressure zones. The quantity of treated water available in reservoirs (non-floating storage) to sustain pumping over extended durations is assumed to be adequately provided for by the design of those facilities and is not considered as part of this analysis.

Facility	Pump	Description	MHD	ADD	MDD	PHD	MDD+FF
	HLP1	100 HP (VFD)	-	On	-	-	-
	HLP2	200 HP (VFD)	-	-	On	-	On
(HIP System)	HLP3	250 HP (VFD)		-	-	On	On
	HLP4	200 HP (VFD)	-	-	-	-	On
	HLP5	250 HP (VFD)	-	-	-	-	Offline
Victoria	P2501 (P1)	50 HP (VFD)	-	On	On	On	Offline
	P2502 (P2)	Removed	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Street BPS	P2003 (P3)	25 HP	-	-	On	On	On
	P2004 (P4)	20 HP	-	-	-	-	On
Jocelyn	P2001 (P1)	15 HP Small Duty	-	On	On	On	On
Street	P2002 (P2)	15 HP Small Duty	-	-	-	-	-
Reservoir & PS	P2003 (P3)	100 HP Fire Pump	-	-	-	-	On

Table 5: Pump Settings for Modelling Scenarios

Facility	Top Water	Low Water	Bottom		Low	Water Leve	el + (m)	
racinty	Level (m)	Level (m)	of Tank	ADD	MDD	PHD	MHD	MDD+FF
Fox Road	185.0 Int.			+5.0	+4.0	+4.0	+6.0	+4.0
Elevated	190.0 Ult.	179.0	178.5	+5.0	+4.0	+4.0	+0.0	+4.0
Tank	190.2 O/F			=184.0	=183.0	=183.0	=185.0	=183.0
Dorset Street	155.79 Typ.	133.89	133.89	21.9	17.2	17.2	21.9	17.2
Standpipe	150.75 U/F			=155.79	=151.09	=151.09	=155.79	=155.79

Table 6: Floating Storage Settings for Modelling Scenarios

5. Scenario Results

MECP (MOE) Design Guidelines for Drinking-Water Systems (2008) provide the following recommendations with respect to pressures within municipal water distribution systems.

The system should be designed to maintain a minimum pressure of 140 kPa (20 psi) at ground level at all points in the distribution system under maximum day demand plus fire flow conditions. The normal operating pressure in the distribution system should be approximately 350 to 480 kPa (50 to 70 psi) and not less than 275 kPa (40 psi). Pressures outside of this range may be dictated by distribution system size and/or topography.

The maximum pressures in the distribution system should not exceed 700 kPa (100 psi) to avoid damage to household plumbing and unnecessary water and energy consumption

The following sections of this report summarize system pressures under various demand scenarios. It should be noted that the varied



* Ontario Building Code requires PRVs to limit pressure to 550 kPa for all occupied areas.

Figure 4: MOE Operating Pressure Recommendations

topography of Zone 1 results in a wide range of pressures some of which are outside current guidelines due to historic conditions.

5.1 Distribution System Pressures

5.1.1 Zone 1 System Pressures

Table 7 summarizes the minimum and maximum distribution system pressures in Zone 1, based on hydraulic modelling for all demand scenarios, under existing conditions and proposed conditions which include demands for 20 Hope Street South.

	Pressure (kPa)					
Scenario	Minimum	Pressure	Maximum Pressure			
	Existing	Proposed	Existing	Proposed		
MHD	338	338	778	778		
ADD	332	332	773	772		
MDD	311	311	759	759		
PHD	257	254	722	721		

Table 7: Zone 1 System Pressures

Pressures in Zone 1 are not significantly impacted by the proposed development of 20 Hope Street South. Pressures are also generally all above the recommended minimum normal operating pressure of 275 kPa (40 PSI). The only exception to this occurs under the peak hour demand scenario where pressures at the highest elevations of pressure zone 1 (Trinity College School) fall below 275 kPa (40 PSI). This occurs under existing conditions and is only slightly worsened by the proposed demand associated with the 20 Hope Street South Development.

Maximum pressures in Zone 1 exceed the recommended maximum pressure of 700 kPa (100 PSI) under all existing and proposed conditions scenarios. It is noted that Zone 1 includes a significant range of elevations between +75 m and +120 m. As a result, under existing conditions, pressures in excess of 700 kPa occur in areas near the shores of Lake Ontario within pressure zone 1 (e.g. Cameco PHCF & WTP area and the area along Peter Street east of Rose Glen Road). The development of 20 Hope Street South has no impact on the high pressures that exist in this area.

5.1.2 Local Distribution System Pressures

Table 8 summarizes the modelled pressures for key junctions in the vicinity of the proposed development site.

	Pressure (kPa)								
O secondaria	Princess Street @ 20		Princess Street @		Ward Street @		Harcourt Street		
Scenario	(Elev. ±	:101.4 m)	Dorset (Elev. :	Street E. ± 95.7 m)	Hope : (Elev. ±	street S. 101.2 m)	@ ward (Elev. ±	a Street 95.7 m)	
	Existing	Proposed	Existing	Proposed	Existing	Proposed	Existing	Proposed	
MHD	529	528	584	584	531	530	585	584	
ADD	523	523	579	579	525	525	579	579	
MDD	509	509	565	565	511	511	566	565	
PHD	471	468	527	525	472	471	527	525	

Table 8: Local System Pressures

There is no significant impact to pressures within the local distribution system resultant from the inclusion of the proposed demand assoicated with the 20 Hope Street South development. It is noted that under all scenarios with the additional 20 Hope Street South development demand in place, the pressures local to the site are between 468 kPa (67.9 PSI) and 584 kPa (84.7 PSI). These pressures are not always within the recommended normal operating range of 350 kPa (50 PSI) to 480 kPa (70 PSI) which is largely due to the aforementioned varying topography within Zone 1. Although outside of the MECP (MOE) Design Guidelines for recommended normal operating pressures, the pressures local to the 20 Hope Street South development are considered adequate. However, because they exceed 550 kPa (80 PSI) the building's internal piping design should consider losses on site and determine if a pressure reducing valve (PRV) will be required to limit pressures in occupied spaces to not more than 5050 (80 PSI).



5.2 Maximum Day Demand plus Fire Flow

To determine available fire flows the hydraulic model is used to simulate overall MDD+FF system conditions while iteratively testing flows at each junction until the flow is sufficient to cause residual pressure at that junction or another junction elsewhere in the distribution system (the critical junction) to drop below 140 kPa (20 PSI). This process is repeated for all junctions within the model.

It is noted that the resulting available fire flows represent the maximum flow at each junction when only that junction is providing fire flow and the critical junction is at a pressure of 140 kPa (20 psi). In this regard, flows cannot be considered in an additive fashion unless a specific analysis is undertaken to determine the combined capacity of two or more junctions.

For purposes of this analysis under MDD+FF conditions it is assumed that Port Hope water distribution is supplying a base demand of 124.7 L/s as summarized in Table 9.

Demand (L/s)				
52.21				
36.81				
2.75				
32.93				
124.7				

Table	9:	MDD+FF	Base	Demand

5.2.1 Available Fire Flows

Based on hydraulic modelling, available fire flows in the vicinity of the 20 Hope Street South site are estimated to be 9,000 L/min while also supplying 127.4 L/s to meet system maximum day demands and the site's sprinkler system demand. At this flow rate (9,000 L/min) pressures on Princess Street in the vicinity of the site will be 140 kPa (20 psi) and pressures elsewhere in Zone 1 will range from over 690 kPa (100 psi) near the WTP to 310 kPa (45 psi) at the Zone 1 high-point near Trinity College School.

Floating storage in Zone 1 is limited and provided only by the Dorset Street Elevated Tank, which has a total volume of 1,083 m³ and typical working volume of 220 m³. In this regard, the majority of fire flow and maximum day demand will have to be provided from the WTP Reservoir by the highlift pumps.

With the largest pump on each discharge header out of service the three remaining highlift pumps have a firm capacity 295 L/s. In this regard, the highlift pumps can meet maximum day system demands and the site's sprinkler demand while also supplying 9,000 L/min (150 L/s) with remaining surplus pumping capacity as outlined in Table 10.

Demand Source	Flow Rate (L/s)
Firm Highlift Pumping Capacity (2 largest pumps out of service)	295.00
Less Zone 1 MDD	52.21
Less Zone 2 MDD	36.81
Less 20 Hope South Street MDD	2.75
Less 20 Hope south Street Sprinkler Flow (522 gpm)	32.93
Less Fire Flow (9,000 L/min)	150.00
Surplus Firm Puming Capacity	20.30

Table 10: MDD+FF Base Demand

Based on a volume of 2,460 m³ in each of the two (2) reservoir cells the WTP Reservoir has total storage volume of 4,920 m³. Assuming 80% of this volume is usable the pumped storage in Zone 1 can sustain MDD for the entire distribution system plus sprinkler flow 20 Hope Street and fire flow of 9,000 L/min for 238 minutes or approximately 4-hours without relying on available floating storage in Zone 1 or Zone 2 or any replenishment from the treatment process.

6. Summary & Recommendations

With consideration for the details in the foregoing sections the following conclusions and recommendations are provided with respect to proposed development at 20 Hope Street South and the local water distribution system:

- Pressures in Zone 1 range considerably and there are areas of less than ideal high and low pressures under existing conditions. These pressures are not signifincantly influenced by the proposed development.
- Pressures in the vicinity of the site are adequate under all scenarios and are not negatively influenced by the proposed development. The need for a pressure reducing valve to mitigate pressures in excess of 550 kPa (80 PSI) should be reviewed as part of the building's mechncial design.
- The available fire flow of 9,000 L/min from the municipal water distribution system outlined in this report is adequate when compared to the 5,000 L/min requirement provided by Novatech for the site based on FUS methodology. A copy of these calculations should be included with the submission of this report to the Municipality. CIMA+ has not reviewed the accuracy of these calculations or the compliance of the proposed building design with the assumptions of the calculations.
- With the two largest pumps out of service the highlift pumping system can sustain system-wide MDD plus a fire flow of 9,000 L/min for approximately 4 hours relying on 80% of the WTP reservoir capacity only.
- No additional watermain upgrades are recommended.

7. References

- Design Guidelines for Drinking Water Systems (2008) Ministry of the Environment (MOE).
- Water Supply for Public Fire Protection (1999) Fire Underwrites Survey (FUS).



Appendix A Hydraulic Model Setup Parameters



1. Introduction

The Port Hope water distribution system hydraulic model has been built using the InfoWater software package developed by Innovyze. The model integrates a variety of information with the intention of being used for planning level analysis

- Pipe network data from the Municipality's ArcGIS-based water distribution system inventory mapping.
- Demand data derived from the Municipality's water billing and meter reading data.
- Junction elevation data extracted from the Northumberland Digital Elevation Model by the Ganaraska Region Conservation Authority.
- Pump curve data verified against design point data provided by the Municipality of Port Hope
- Pump sequencing and tank level set points provided by the Municipality of Port Hope
- Demand factors derived from water treatment plant flow data and Zone 2 flow meter data.

2. C-Factors

Extensive system-wide flow testing has not been undertaken recently in Port Hope. As a result, the Port Hope hydraulic model incorporates Hazen-Williams C-Factors for each of the pipe based on MOE's Design Guidelines for Drinking Water Systems. The typical C-Factors used in the hydraulic model are summarized in Table 1.

Pipe Diameter (Nominal)	C-Factor
150 mm or Less	100
200 mm – 250 mm	110
300 mm – 600 mm	120
Greater than 600 mm	130

Table 1: MOE Recommended C-Factors

In an effort to further refine the current hydraulic model, which included a significant update of demand data in 2015 (see section 3), it is understood that the Municipality of Port Hope intends to undertake system-wide flow testing in the near future.

3. Water Demand

3.1 System-Wide Average Day Demand

Water demands within the Port Hope water distribution system have been established using quarterly water meter readings and water billing records from 2011 through 2014. Average Day Demand (ADD) for each account was calculated by averaging all quarters of available data and uniformly distributing the averaged values over a 24-hour day. These ADD demand values

were then spatially distributed by using GIS tools to allocate each account to a junction within the hydraulic model. An overview of this process is provided in Figure 1. For properties within recently developed residential subdivisions where no historic meter reading/billing records exist an average residential quarterly demand value of 42 m³ per property was included in the allocation process.





Unaccounted for water is considered to include the following:

- Unmetered uses such as firefighting and hydrant flushing
- Domestic use and irrigation at unmetered facilities owned by the Municipality
- Losses due to leakage, mainly in aging portions of the distribution system

Total quarterly demand derived from water meter readings and billing records was compared to aggregated quarterly production data to determine how much of the water supplied to the Port Hope water distribution system is considered unaccounted for.

Table 2 summarizes the comparison of production and metered consumption (including bulk water) and the resulting unaccounted for water which averages 19.99% of production. Based on this an allowance for unaccounted for water has been distributed uniformly to each junction in the hydraulic model.

Quarter	Production at WTP (m ³)	Metered Consumption (m ³)	Metered Bulk Water (m ³)	Unaccounted Water (m ³)	Unaccounted for Water (%)
2012-Q1	353,302	321,235	209	31,858	9.02%
2012-Q2	454,651	353,239	1,754	99,658	21.92%
2012-Q3	484,804	358,175	6,198	120,430	24.84%
2012-Q4	456,549	354,053	2,200	100,296	21.97%
2013-Q1	404,913	342,174	203	62,536	15.44%
2013-Q2	446,220	377,263	1,569	67,388	15.10%
2013-Q3	460,866	339,030	2,994	118,842	25.79%
2013-Q4	472,716	323,673	583	148,460	31.41%
2014-Q1	448,861	383,937	95	64,829	14.44%
Average	442,542	350,309	1,756	90,477	19.99%

Table 2: Unaccounted for Water

System-wide ADD estimated by the methods above totals 5,063 m³/day and compares well to the average daily water flow delivered to the distribution system by the WTP, which is summarized in Table 3 below.

Year	Max Flow (m³/day)	Average Flow (m ³ /day)	Max Day Factor
2012	6,970	4,905	1.42
2013	6,785	4,933	1.37
2014	7,213	4,904	1.47
2015	7,135	4,563	1.56
2016	6,440	4,578	1.41
2017	5,733	4,391	1.31
Average	6,713	4,712	1.42

Table 3: WTP Supply to Distribution System

3.2 System-Wide Demand Factors

To support further analysis of the distribution system under the various scenarios listed below, demand factors were established to estimate system demands under minimum hour, maximum day and peak hour scenarios based on available average day demand data:

- 1. Minimum Hour Demand (MHD);
- 2. Average Day Demand (ADD);
- 3. Maximum Day Demand (MDD); and
- 4. Peak Hour Demand (PHD).

Records from the Port Hope WTP were used to establish the Maximum Day Demand (MDD) factor for the overall system of in the order of 1.42. Given the different mix of development found in Zone 1 compared to Zone 2 factors for each zone were analyzed and applied separately.

Based on the values presented in Table 4 an MDD peaking factor of 1.6 was established for Zone 1, which includes a mix of residential, industrial and commercial and institutional development with an average day demand of 3,397 m³ for the period 2012-2015.

Year	Max Flow (m ³ /day)	Average Flow (m ³ /day)	Max Day Peaking Factor
2012	4,757	2,981	1.6
2013	5,373	3,585	1.5
2014	6,370	3,760	1.7
2015	5,610	3,173	1.8
Average	5,527	3,397	1.6

Table 4: Zone 1 MDD Peaking Factor

Based on the values presented in Table 4 an MDD peaking factor of 1.9 was established for Zone 2, which includes primarily residential development with only limited commercial and institutional development and no significant industrial development with an average day demand of 1,456 m³ for the period 2012-2015.

Table 5. Zone 2 MDD Feaking Factor					
Year	Max Flow (m³/day)	Average Flow (m ³ /day)	Max Day Peaking Factor		
2012	3,666	1,925	1.9		
2013	2,670	1,348	2.0		
2014	2,203	1,144	1.9		
2015	2,633	1,385	1.9		
Average	2,793	1,456	1.9		

Table 5: Zone 2 MDD Peaking Factor

The MHD and PHD factors used for this analysis were taken from the Ministry of Environment and Climate Change (MOECC) Design Guidelines for Drinking Water Systems for populations of 10,001 to 25,000. MHD, MDD and PHD factors are summarized in Table 6. It is noted that the MOECC design guidelines recommend a maximum day factor of 1.9 for systems servicing populations in the 10,001 to 25,000 range.

Demand Factor	Zone 1	Zone 2
Minimum Hour Demand (MHD)	0.60	0.60
Maximum Day Demand (MDD)	1.60	1.90
Peak Hour Demand (PHD)	2.85	2.85

Table 6: Summary of Demand Factors

CIMA CANADA INC. 415 Baseline Road West, 2nd Floor Bowmanville, ON L1C 5M2 T 905 697-4464 cima.ca



APPENDIX E Drawings

General Plan of Services Interim Conditions	(120226-GP1)
General Plan of Services Ultimate Conditions	(120226-GP2)
Grading Plan Interim Conditions	(120226-GR1)
Grading Plan Ultimate Conditions	(120226-GR2)
Noted and Details Plan	(120226-NDP)





THE POSITION OF ALL POLE LINES, CONDUITS, WATERMAINS, SEWERS AND OTHER STRUCTURES IS NOT NECESSARILY SHOWN ON THE CONTRACT DRAWINGS, AND WHERE SHOWN, THE ACCURACY OF THE POSITION OF SUCH UTILITIES AND STRUCTURES IS NOT GUARANTEED. BEFORE STARTING WORK, DETERMINE THE EXACT LOCATION OF ALL SUCH UTILITIES AND STRUCTURES AND ASSUME ALL LIABILITY FOR



THE POSITION OF ALL POLE LINES, CONDUITS, WATERMAINS, SEWERS AND OTHER STRUCTURES IS NOT NECESSARILY SHOWN ON THE CONTRACT DRAWINGS, AND WHERE SHOWN, LOCATION OF ALL SUCH UTILITIES AND STRUCTURES AND ASSUME ALL LIABILITY FOR



DATE BY

REVISION

THE POSITION OF ALL POLE LINES, CONDUITS, WATERMAINS, SEWERS AND OTHER STRUCTURES IS NOT NECESSARILY SHOWN ON THE CONTRACT DRAWINGS, AND WHERE SHOWN THE ACCURACY OF THE POSITION OF SUCH UTILITIES AND STRUCTURES IS NOT GUARANTEED. LOCATION OF ALL SUCH UTILITIES AND STRUCTURES AND ASSUME ALL LIABILITY FOR DAMAGE TO THEM.

120226-GR2

GENERAL NOTES:

- 1. COORDINATE AND SCHEDULE ALL WORK WITH OTHER TRADES AND CONTRACTORS.
- 2. DETERMINE THE EXACT LOCATION, SIZE, MATERIAL AND ELEVATION OF ALL EXISTING UTILITIES PRIOR TO COMMENCING CONSTRUCTION. PROTECT AND ASSUME RESPONSIBILITY FOR ALL EXISTING UTILITIES WHETHER OR NOT SHOWN ON THIS DRAWING.
- 3. OBTAIN ALL NECESSARY PERMITS AND APPROVALS FROM THE MUNICIPALITY OF PORT HOPE BEFORE COMMENCING CONSTRUCTION. AN EXCAVATION PERMIT WILL BE REQUIRED FROM THE MUNICIPALITY PRIOR TO ANY WORKS ON PUBLIC PROPERTY
- 4. BEFORE COMMENCING CONSTRUCTION OBTAIN AND PROVIDE PROOF OF COMPREHENSIVE, ALL RISK AND OPERATIONAL LIABILITY INSURANCE FOR \$2,000,000.00. INSURANCE POLICY TO NAME OWNERS, ENGINEERS AND ARCHITECTS AS CO-INSURED AND THE MUNICIPALITY OF PORT HOPE AS THIRD PARTY.
- 5. RESTORE ALL DISTURBED AREAS ON-SITE AND OFF-SITE, INCLUDING TRENCHES AND SURFACES ON PUBLIC ROAD ALLOWANCES TO EXISTING CONDITIONS OR BETTER TO THE SATISFACTION OF THE MUNICIPALITY OF PORT HOPE.
- 6. REMOVE FROM SITE ALL EXCESS EXCAVATED MATERIAL UNLESS OTHERWISE INSTRUCTED BY ENGINEER. EXCAVATE AND REMOVE FROM SITE ALL ORGANIC MATERIAL AND DEBRIS. ALL CONTAMINATED MATERIAL (IF ANY) SHALL BE DISPOSED OF AT A LICENSED LANDFILL FACILITY.
- 7. REFER TO ARCHITECT'S AND LANDSCAPE ARCHITECT'S DRAWINGS FOR BUILDING AND HARD SURFACE AREAS AND DIMENSIONS.
- 8. SAW CUT AND KEYGRIND ASPHALT AT ALL ROAD CUTS AND ASPHALT TIE IN POINTS.
- 9. CONTRACTOR TO PROVIDE THE CONSULTANT WITH A GENERAL PLAN OF SERVICES AND GRADING PLAN INDICATING ALL SERVICING AS-BUILT INFORMATION SHOWN ON THIS PLAN. AS-BUILT INFORMATION MUST INCLUDE: PIPE MATERIAL, SIZES, LENGTHS, SLOPES, INVERT AND T/G ELEVATIONS, STRUCTURE LOCATIONS, VALVE AND HYDRANT LOCATIONS, T/WM ELEVATIONS, ANY ALIGNMENT CHANGES, AND ALL SURFACE ELEVATION AS BUILT GRADES.
- 10. ASPHALT REINSTATEMENT LIMITS SHALL BE MARKED IN THE FIELD AND APPROVED BY THE MUNICIPALITY OF PORT HOPE PRIOR TO ASPHALT REINSTATEMENT COMMENCING.
- 11. ALL ELEVATIONS ARE GEODETIC. ELEVATIONS SHOWN ON THIS PLAN ARE RELATED TO THE CGVD1928: 1978 GEODETIC DATUM AND ARE DERIVED FROM BENCH MARK AND 00819658142 HAVING PUBLISHED ORTHOMETRIC ELEVATION OF 95.64 . REFER TO ELLIOTT AND PARR (PETERBOROUGHLTD. TOPOGRAPHIC PLAN OF LOTS 21-31 SMITH ESTATE PLAN IN THE MUNICIPALITY OF PORT HOPE COUNTY OF NORTHUMBERLAND.
- 2. REFER TO GEOTECHNICAL INFORMATION PROVIDED BY TERRAPROBE INC., FILE NO. 1-19-0660-01 DATED DECEMBER 11, 2019 FOR SUBSURFACE CONDITIONS, CONSTRUCTION RECOMMENDATIONS, AND GEOTECHNICAL INSPECTION REQUIREMENTS. THE GEOTECHNICAL CONSULTANT IS TO REVIEW ON-SITE CONDITIONS AFTER EXCAVATION PRIOR TO PLACEMENT OF THE GRANULAR MATERIAL.
- 13. REFER TO THE DEVELOPMENT SERVICING STUDY AND STORMWATER MANAGEMENT REPORT NO. R-2021-091, DATED JUNE 14, 2021 PREPARED BY NOVATECH.
- 14. REFER TO THE MUNICIPALITY OF PORT HOPE MINIMUM STANDARDS FOR DESIGN, CONSTRUCTION AND APPROVAL OF MUNICIPAL INFRASTRUCTURE AND RESIDENTIAL, COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT, SECTION 4 FOR ALL REQUIRED ROAD REINSTATEMENTS.

WATERMAIN NOTES:

SPECIFICATIONS:		
ITEM	SPEC. No.	REFERENCE
WATERMAIN TRENCHING	701	OPSS
THERMAL INSULATION IN SHALLOW TRENCHES	1109.030	OPSD
HYDRANT INSTALLATION	1105.010	OPSD
WATERMAIN (50mmØ +)	PVC DR 18 (UNLESS SPE	CIFIED OTHERWISE)
WATERMAIN (<50mmØ)	PEX SDR9	
WATER SERVICES	PEX SDR9	
SUPPLY AND CONSTRUCT ALL WATERMAINS AND A PROVINCIAL STANDARDS AND SPECIFICATIONS. EX	PPURTENANCES IN ACCO (CAVATION, INSTALLATION	RDANCE WITH THE ONTARIO N, BACKFILL, RESTORATION,

- CONNECTIONS AND SHUT-OFFS AT THE MAIN AND CHLORINATION OF THE WATER SYSTEM SHALL BE PERFORMED BY THE CONTRACTOR. 3. WATERMAIN SHALL BE MINIMUM 2.4m DEPTH BELOW GRADE UNLESS OTHERWISE INDICATED.
- 4. PROVIDE MINIMUM 0.25m CLEARANCE BETWEEN OUTSIDE OF PIPES AT ALL CROSSINGS.
- 5. WATER SERVICE IS TO BE CONSTRUCTED TO WITHIN 1.0m OF FOUNDATION WALL AND CAPPED, UNLESS OTHERWISE INDICATED.
- 6. DEVELOPERS CONTRACTOR IS TO FOLLOW THE MUNICIPALITY'S WATER COMMISSIONING PROTOCOL. 7. IT WILL BE THE RESPONSIBILITY OF THE DEVELOPER'S CONTRACTOR TO PERFORM ANY WATERMAIN CONNECTION(S) REQUIRED. THIS SHALL BE COMPLETED IN THE PRESENCE OF A DESIGNATED MUNICIPAL WATER OPERATOR AND THE SELECTED CONTRACTOR SHALL PROVE TO THE SATISFACTION OF THE MUNICIPALITY THAT THEY ARE COMPETENT TO PERFORM THE WORKS

SEWER NOTES:

1. SPECIFICATIONS:

<u>ITEM</u>	SPEC. No.	REFERENCE
STORM / SANITARY MANHOLE (1200Ø)	701.010	OPSD
CATCHBASIN (600x600mm)	705.010	OPSD
CB, FRAME & COVER	400.020	OPSD
STORM / SANITARY MH FRAME & COVER	401.010	OPSD
SANITARY COVER	401.020	OPSD
SEWER TRENCH	410	OPSS
SANITARY SEWER	PVC SDR 35 (UNLESS S	SPECIFIED OTHERWISE)
STORM SEWER (<450mmØ)	PVC SDR 35 (UNLESS S	SPECIFIED OTHERWISE)
STORM SEWER (450mmØ +)	CONC CLASS 65D (UNL	ESS SPECIFIED OTHERWISE
WATERTIGHT FRAME & COVER	401.030	OPSD
SEWER INSULATION SHALLOW TRENCH	1109.030	OPSD

2. SERVICES ARE TO BE CONSTRUCTED TO 1.0m FROM FACE OF BUILDING AT A MINIMUM SLOPE OF 1.0%. 3. FLEXIBLE CONNECTIONS ARE REQUIRED FOR CONNECTING PIPES TO MANHOLES (FOR EXAMPLE KOR-N-SEAL, PSX:

- POSITIVE SEAL AND DURASEAL). THE CONCRETE CRADLE FOR THE PIPE CAN BE ELIMINATED. 4. DYE TESTING IS TO BE COMPLETED ON SANITARY SERVICE TO CONFIRM PROPER CONNECTION TO THE SANITARY SEWER
- 5. STORM MANHOLES AND CBMHS ARE TO HAVE 300mm SUMPS UNLESS OTHERWISE INDICATED.
- 6. SUBDRAIN INVERTS SHOULD BE APPROXIMATELY 300mm BELOW SUBGRADE LEVEL. THE SUBGRADE SURFACE SHOULD
- BE SHAPED TO PROMOTE WATER FLOW TO THE DRAINAGE LINES. 7. CONTRACTOR TO TELEVISE (CCTV) ALL PROPOSED SEWERS, 200mmØ OR GREATER PRIOR TO BASE COURSE ASPHALT. UPON COMPLETION OF CONTRACT, THE CONTRACTOR IS RESPONSIBLE TO FLUSH AND CLEAN ALL SEWERS & APPURTENANCES.
- 8. LEAKAGE TESTING AS PER OPSS 410.07.16, 410.07.16.04 AND 407.07.24. IS TO BE COMPLETED ON ALL SANITARY SERVICES AND THE RESULTS ARE TO BE SUBMITTED TO THE MUNICIPALITY OF PORT HOPE - PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT FOR CONSIDERATION IN SECURITY RELEASE FOLLOWING CONSTRUCTION.

EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL NOTES:

- 1. THE OWNER AGREES TO PREPARE AND IMPLEMENT AN EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL PLAN TO THE SATISFACTION OF THE MUNICIPALITY OF PORT HOPE, APPROPRIATE TO THE SITE CONDITIONS, PRIOR TO UNDERTAKING ANY SITE ALTERATIONS (FILLING, GRADING, REMOVAL OF VEGETATION, ETC.) AND DURING ALL PHASES OF SITE PREPARATION AND CONSTRUCTION IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE CURRENT BEST MANAGEMENT PRACTICES FOR EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL SUCH AS BUT NOT LIMITED TO INSTALLING FILTER CLOTHS ACROSS MANHOLE/CATCHBASIN LIDS TO PREVENT SEDIMENTS FROM ENTERING STRUCTURES AND INSTALL AND MAINTAIN A LIGHT DUTY SILT FENCE BARRIER AS REQUIRED.
- 2. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL PLACE FILTER SOCKS UNDER THE EXISTING AND PROPOSED CATCHBASIN AND MANHOLE GRATES FOR THE DURATION OF CONSTRUCTION AND WILL REMAIN IN PLACE DURING ALL PHASES OF CONSTRUCTION.
- 3. LIGHT DUTY SILT FENCE AS PER OPSD 219.110 SHALL BE INSTALLED FOR ENTIRE PERIMETER OF SITE, SHALL BE UTILIZED TO CONTROL EROSION FROM THE SITE DURING CONSTRUCTION.
- 4. THE CONTRACTOR ACKNOWLEDGES THAT FAILURE TO IMPLEMENT EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL MEASURES MAY BE SUBJECT TO PENALTIES IMPOSED BY ANY APPLICABLE REGULATORY AGENCY.
- 5. PROVIDE MUD MATS AT ALL CONSTRUCTION ACCESS POINTS TO MINIMIZE SEDIMENT TRANSPORT OFFSITE.



LEGEND

	PROPERTY LINE
DC	PROPOSED CURB
	PROPOSED DEPRESSED CURB
	PROPOSED WATER SERVICE
V&VB ⊗	PROPOSED VALVE AND VALVE BOX
C	PROPOSED CAP
- (-	PROPOSED FIRE HYDRANT
—•—	PROPOSED SANITARY SERVICE c/w M
0	PROPOSED STORM SEWER AND MAN
	PROPOSED STORM SEWER WITH INS
	PROPOSED SANITARY SEWER WITH I
\bigtriangledown	PROPOSED BUILDING AND SERVICE
	DIRECTION OF FLOW
\bigcirc	PROPOSED CATCHBASIN MANHOLE
	PROPOSED CATCHBASIN
GM	GAS METER
-	PROPOSED WATER METER
	PROPOSED WATER REMOTE METER
	PROPOSED INLET CONTROL DEVICE
× 98.40	PROPOSED ELEVATION
x 98.40	EXISTING ELEVATION

THE POSITION OF ALL POLE LINES, CONDUITS, WATERMAINS, SEWERS AND OTHER UNDERGROUND AND OVERGROUND UTILITIES AND STRUCTURES IS NOT NECESSARILY SHOWN ON THE CONTRACT DRAWINGS, AND WHERE SHOWN, THE ACCURACY OF THE POSITION OF SUCH UTILITIES AND STRUCTURES IS NOT GUARANTEED. BEFORE STARTING WORK, DETERMINE THE EXACT LOCATION OF ALL SUCH UTILITIES AND STRUCTURES AND ASSUME ALL LIABILITY FOR DAMAGE TO THEM.

- THE GEOTECHNICAL CONSULTANT.
- FROST COMPATIBLE WITH THE EXISTING SOILS.
- PROCTOR MAXIMUM DRY DENSITY VALUE.
- DRAINAGE.



CE c/w MANHOLE ND MANHOLE ITH INSULATION R WITH INSULATION

RVICE ENTRANCE

METER DEVICE

x 98.40(S) PROPOSED SWALE ELEVATION ¥ 95.90T₩ PROPOSED GROUND ELEVATION AT TOP OF WALL 95.90BW PROPOSED GROUND ELEVATION

AT BOTTOM OF WALL ---- PROPOSED HIGH POINT SLOPE AND DIRECTION

H.P.

2.0%

- i li li

 \rightarrow

-0-----

V&VC

_ _

— · · · — · · — SWALE PROPOSED TERRACE (3:1 MAX) OVER LAND FLOW DIRECTION

SILT FENCE BARRIER PER OPSD 219.110 PROPOSED STRAW BALE FLOW CHECK DAM PROPOSED RETAINING WALL

EXISTING WATERMAIN C/W VALVE & VALVE

----- CHAMBER -O- EXISTING HYDRANT C/W VALVE & LEAD SAN MH EXISTING SANITARY MANHOLE & SEWER STM MH _____ EXISTING STORM MANHOLE & SEWER CB 1 EXISTING CATCHBASIN — · · · — · · — EXISTING SWALE

				SCALE	DESIGN	FOR REVIEW ONLY	
				N.T.S.	CHECKED CJR	PROFESSIONA	N
4	REVISED PER MUNICIPALITY COMMENTS	JAN 25/22	CJR		DRAWN		Enginee Suite 2
3	REVISED PER TOWN COMMENTS	NOV 12/21	CJR		CHECKED		Ott
2	ISSUED FOR BUILDING PERMIT	AUG 31/21	CJR		CJR		Teleph Facsimi
1	ISSUED FOR SITE PLAN APPLICATION	JUNE 14/21	CJR		APPROVED	POLINCE OF ONTRE	Websit
No.	REVISION	DATE	BY		CJR		